arXiv:math/0311425v1 [math.OA] 24 Nov 2003

$C^\star$-ALGEBRAS FROM ANZAI FLOWS AND THEIR K-GROUPS

KAMRAN REIHANI AND PAUL MILNES

Abstract. We study the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ generated by the Anzai flow on the n-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. It is proved that this algebra is a simple quotient of the group C^*-algebra of a lattice subgroup $\mathfrak{D}_n$ of a $(n+2)$-dimensional connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group F_n whose corresponding Lie algebra is the generic filiform Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_n$. Other simple infinite dimensional quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ are also characterized and represented as matrix algebras over simple affine Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebras of the lower dimensional tori. The K-groups of the $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ and other simple quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ are studied, the Pimsner-Voiculescu 6-term exact sequence being a useful tool. The rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is studied as explicitly as possible, and is proved to be the same as for more general transformation group C^*-algebras of $\mathbb{T}^n$ including the Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebras $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$. An error (about these K-groups) in the literature is addressed.

1. Introduction

In 3 dimensions there is a unique (up to isomorphism) connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group, which we call G_3 (following Nielsen [21]); G_3 ($=\mathbb{R}^3$ as a set) is the Heisenberg group with multiplication

$$(k,m,n)(k',m',n') = (k+k'+nm', m+m', n+n').$$

The faithful irreducible representations of the lattice subgroup H_3 ($=\mathbb{Z}^3$ as a set) of G_3 generate the irrational rotation algebras A_θ. In 4 dimensions there is also a unique such connected group G_4, in 5 dimensions there are 6 such groups $G_{5,i}$ for $1 \le i \le 6$, and in 6 dimensions there are 24 such groups $G_{6,j}$ for $1 \le j \le 24$. The main thrust in [17, 18, 19] was to find cocompact subgroups $H_4 \subset G_4$, $H_{5,i} \subset G_{5,i}$, and $H_{6,10} \subset G_{6,10}$, that would be analogous to $H_3 \subset G_3$, and then for these H's to identify the infinite dimensional simple quotients of $C^*(H)$, both the faithful ones (generated by a faithful representation of H) and the non-faithful ones, and also to give matrix representations over lower dimensional algebras for as many of the non-faithful quotients as possible.

The most attractive concrete representations on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ of the irrational rotation algebras A^3_θ (for irrational θ), the infinite dimensional simple quotients of the group C^*-algebra $C^*(H_3)$, use the rotation flow $(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{T})$, $v \mapsto \lambda v$, on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ (with $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$). The analogous 2, 3, and 4 dimensional (Anzai) flows, generated by $(w,v) \mapsto (\lambda w, wv)$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$, $(x,w,v) \mapsto (\lambda x, xw, wv)$ on $\mathbb{T}^3$, and $(y,x,w,v) \mapsto (\lambda y, yx, xw, wv)$ on $\mathbb{T}^4$ give the analogous concrete representations of the algebras A^4_θ, $A^{5,5}_\theta$, and $A^{6,10}_\theta$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and $L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)$ of simple quotients of $C^*(H_4)$ [17], $C^*(H_{5,5})$ [18], and $C^*(H_{6,10})$ [19].

The K-groups of $A^3_\theta = A_\theta$ were computed in [24] and [26], and those of the Heisenberg C^*-algebra A^4_θ were studied by J. Packer in [23] (where it is referred to as *class 2*). Since $A^{5,5}_\theta$ is isomorphic to a crossed product $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^3) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ as in [18], S. Walters in [30] used the Pimsner-Voiculescu six term exact sequence [24] to compute its K-groups, and in order to calculate the action of the underlying automorphism σ of the crossed product on $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^3))$ he made use of Connes' non-commutative geometry involving cyclic cocycles and the Connes-Chern character. Application of this method to compute the K-groups of $A^{6,10}_\theta$ and its higher dimensional analogues is much more difficult, and no computations for these cases seem to have been attempted. In Section 6 this problem will be discussed in a general form via another approach (looking at $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ as an exterior algebra over $\mathbb{Z}^n$); we have calculated the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ for $1 \le n \le 11$ (see Table 1).

In the present paper, we consider the n-dimensional Anzai flow $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}^n)$ generated by the Anzai transformation

$$\sigma : (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \longmapsto (\lambda v_1, v_1 v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} v_n)$$

with $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ for an irrational θ, and the associated operator equations

$$\mathbf{(CR)}_n \qquad [U, V_1] = \lambda, \ \ [U, V_2] = V_1, \ \ \dots, \ \ [U, V_n] = V_{n-1}.$$

We show that the group $\mathfrak{D}_n$ ($\mathfrak{D}_1 = H_3$, $\mathfrak{D}_2 = H_4$, $\mathfrak{D}_3 = H_{5,5}$, and $\mathfrak{D}_4 = H_{6,10}$), to which these are related, is a cocompact subgroup of a connected $(n+2)$-dimensional group F_n ($F_1 = G_3$, $F_2 = G_4$, $F_3 = G_{5,5}$, and $F_4 = G_{6,10}$). In Theorems 3.1 and 4.2 the infinite dimensional simple quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ are identified and displayed as C^*-crossed products generated by minimal actions. The faithful ones using the flow $\mathcal{F}$ are called $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ ($\mathcal{A}_{1,\theta} = A^3_\theta$, $\mathcal{A}_{2,\theta} = A^4_\theta$, $\mathcal{A}_{3,\theta} = A^{5,5}_\theta$, and $\mathcal{A}_{4,\theta} = A^{6,10}_\theta$), and the others using suitable modifications of $\mathcal{F}$ are called $A^{(n)}_1, A^{(n)}_2, \dots, A^{(n)}_{n-1}$. The non-faithful ones $A^{(n)}_i$ are displayed also as matrix algebras over simple C^*-algebras from groups of lower dimension (Theorem 5.8); these groups are certain subgroups of $\mathfrak{D}_{n-i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. These C^*-algebras are completely classified in Corollary 6.20 by K-theoretic invariants, namely the ranks of the K-groups and the range of the unique tracial state. In Section 6, we make use of the algebraic properties of $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$. More precisely, $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ is an exterior algebra over $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with a certain natural basis , and the induced automorphism σ_* is in fact a ring automorphism, which makes computations much easier. In fact, it is shown in Proposition 6.1 that the problem of finding the

K-groups of any transformation group C^*-algebras of the tori is completely computable in the sense that one only needs to calculate the kernels and cokernels of a finite number of integer matrices.

We have learned that a similar method was used by R. Ji in his Ph.D. thesis [12, unpublished] to study the K-groups of the C^*-algebras $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ associated with the descending Furstenberg transformations $F_{f,\theta}$ on tori. He has computed a 2-dimensional case [12, Corollary 2.20] (which includes A^4_θ), and has made a claim [12, Proposition 2.17] about the form of the torsion subgroup of $K_*(A_{F_{f,\theta}})$ that is not true in general (see Remark 1.6 below), and does not deal with the rank of the K-groups. Thus the K-groups of $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ (including $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$) have not yet been calculated in general. In Corollary 6.2, the K-groups of any transformation group C^*-algebra of an n-torus are proved to be finitely generated with the same rank. In the case of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$, this common rank is called a_n, and is studied in detail in Section 6, and given as explicitly as possible via generating functions (Theorem 6.35). It is proved in Theorem 6.16 that a_n is the common rank of the K-groups of many other transformation group C^*-algebra of $\mathbb{T}^n$ as well, including the C^*-algebras from Furstenberg transformations on $\mathbb{T}^n$. An explicit formula for the torsion parts of the K-groups of these algebras seems much more challenging to find.

To present the results and proofs of the paper, especially for Section 6, we need some definitions about transformations on the tori and the corresponding C^*-crossed products.
Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ denote the n-dimensional torus with coordinates $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$. We consider homotopy classes of continuous functions from $\mathbb{T}^n$ to $\mathbb{T}$. It is known that in each class there is a unique "linear" function $f(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = v_1^{b_1} v_2^{b_2} \ldots v_n^{b_n}$, $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following [4, p. 35], we denote the exponent b_i, which is uniquely determined by the homotopy class of f, as $b_i = A_i[f]$.

Definition 1.1. An **affine transformation** on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is given by

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = \\ (e^{2\pi i t_1} v_1^{b_{11}} \ldots v_n^{b_{n1}}, e^{2\pi i t_2} v_1^{b_{12}} \ldots v_n^{b_{n2}}, \ldots, e^{2\pi i t_n} v_1^{b_{1n}} \ldots v_n^{b_{nn}}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{t} := (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathsf{A} := [b_{ij}]_{n\times n} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. We identify the pair $(\boldsymbol{t}, \mathsf{A})$ with α.

Note that any automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$ followed by a rotation can be expressed in such a fashion. The set of affine transformations on $\mathbb{T}^n$ form a group $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, which can be identified with the semidirect product $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, for two affine transformations $\alpha = (\boldsymbol{t}, \mathsf{A})$ and $\alpha' = (\boldsymbol{t}', \mathsf{A}')$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$, we have

$$\alpha \circ \alpha' = (\boldsymbol{t} + \mathsf{A}\boldsymbol{t}', \mathsf{A}\mathsf{A}') \text{ and } \alpha^{-1} = (-\mathsf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{t}, \mathsf{A}^{-1}).$$

(In the expression $\mathsf{A}\boldsymbol{t}$, $\boldsymbol{t}$ is a column vector, but for convenience we write it as a row vector.)

We have the following definitions in accordance with [12]. These transformations of the tori appear in applications of ergodic theory to number theory [4], and sometimes are called *skew product transformations of the tori*.

Definition 1.2. (a) A **Furstenberg transformation** $F_{f,\theta}$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is given by

$$F_{f,\theta}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}v_1, f_1(v_1)v_2, f_2(v_1, v_2)v_3, \ldots, f_{n-1}(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})v_n),$$

where θ is a real number and each $f_i : \mathbb{T}^i \to \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous function with $A_i[f_i] \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

(b) An **affine Furstenberg transformation** on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is given by

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}v_1, v_1^{b_{12}}v_2, v_1^{b_{13}}v_2^{b_{23}}v_3, \ldots, v_1^{b_{1n}}v_2^{b_{2n}} \ldots v_{n-1}^{b_{n-1,n}}v_n),$$

where θ is a real number and the exponents b_{ij} are integers and $b_{i,i+1} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

(c) An **ascending Furstenberg transformation** on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is given by

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}v_1, v_1^{k_1}v_2, v_2^{k_2}v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}v_n),$$

where θ is a real number and the exponents k_i are nonzero integers and $k_i \mid k_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$.

(d) In (c), if $k_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, the transformation is called the **Anzai transformation** on $\mathbb{T}^n$. Thus it is given by

$$\sigma(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}v_1, v_1v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n),$$

where θ is a real number.

Note that one can easily verify that $F_{f,\theta}$ is a homeomorphism. Also, in the above definition, we have converted “descending”, which is used in [12, Definition 2.16], to “ascending” since the order of coordinates there is opposite to ours. For certain Furstenberg transformations on $\mathbb{T}^n$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. ([5], 2.3) *If θ is irrational, then $F_{f,\theta}$ defines a minimal dynamical system on $\mathbb{T}^n$. If in addition, each f_i satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in v_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then $F_{f,\theta}$ is a uniquely ergodic transformation and the unique invariant measure is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^n$. In particular, every affine Furstenberg transformation defines a minimal and uniquely ergodic dynamical system if θ is irrational.*

As a conclusion, we have the following result for the Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebra $A_{F_{f,\theta}} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_{F_{f,\theta}} \mathbb{Z}$ as introduced in [12].

Corollary 1.4. *$A_{F_{f,\theta}} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_{F_{f,\theta}} \mathbb{Z}$ is a simple C^*-algebra for irrational θ. If in addition, each f_i satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in v_i for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, then $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ has a unique tracial state.*

Proof. For the first part, the minimality of the action as stated in the preceding theorem implies the simplicity of $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ [3, 25]. For the second part, one can easily check that since θ is irrational, the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ generated by $F_{f,\theta}$ is free. So, there are no periodic points in $\mathbb{T}^n$. This and the unique ergodicity of $F_{f,\theta}$ yield the result [29, Corollary 3.3.10, p. 91]. □

Remark 1.5. Using the preceding corollary and much like the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can prove that for irrational θ, $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ is in fact the unique C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $U, V_1, \dots, V_n$ satisfying the commutator relations

$$\textbf{(CR)}_f \quad [U, V_1] = e^{2\pi i\theta}, [U, V_2] = f_1(V_1), \dots, [U, V_n] = f_{n-1}(V_1, \dots, V_{n-1})$$

(where $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ and all other pairs of operators from $U, V_1, \dots, V_n$ commute).

Remark 1.6. In [12, Proposition 2.17], R. Ji claims to have proved

($*$) *If $F_{f,\theta}$ is an ascending Furstenberg transformation on $\mathbb{T}^n$ with the ascending sequence $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$, then the torsion subgroup of $K_*(A_{F_{f,\theta}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{k_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_2}^{(m_2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{n-1}}^{(m_{n-1})}$,where the group $\mathbb{Z}_{k_i}^{(m_i)}$ is the direct product of m_i copies of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{k_i} = \mathbb{Z}/k_i\mathbb{Z}$.*

From this claim one can immediately deduce that the K-groups of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ generated by the Anzai transformation σ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ are torsion-free. We will show in the last section that this is not true in general. As the first counterexample, we will see that $K_1(\mathcal{A}_{6,\theta}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ (Example 6.2). In fact, the error in the proof of ($*$) is in [12, p. 29, l. 2]; there it is "clearly" assumed that using a matrix S in $\mathrm{GL}(2^n, \mathbb{Z})$, one can delete all entries denoted by $\star$'s in $\mathsf{K}_* - \mathsf{I}$, where K_* is the $2^n \times 2^n$ integer matrix corresponding to $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ that acts on $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) = \Lambda^*\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to a certain ordered basis. This error arose originally from the general form of the matrix K_* in [12, p. 27], which is not correct. R. Ji went on to use the torsion subgroup in ($*$) as an invariant to classify the C^*-algebras generated by ascending transformations and matrix algebras over them [12, Theorem 3.6]. We do not know whether that classification holds.

2. The Anzai flow $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}^n)$ and the group $\mathfrak{D}_n$

Let $\lambda := e^{2\pi i\theta}$ for an irrational number θ, and consider the Anzai transformation

$$\sigma : (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \longmapsto (\lambda v_1, v_1 v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} v_n)$$

on $\mathbb{T}^n$, which generates (by iteration) the Anzai flow $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \overset{m}{\longmapsto} \sigma^m(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \\ (\lambda^m v_1, \lambda^{\binom{m}{2}} v_1^m v_2, \lambda^{\binom{m}{3}} v_1^{\binom{m}{2}} v_2^m v_3, \dots, \lambda^{\binom{m}{n}} v_1^{\binom{m}{n-1}} \dots v_{n-1}^m v_n).\end{aligned}$$

With $v_1, \dots, v_n$ denoting also the functions in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$,

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) \longmapsto v_1, \dots, v_n, \text{ respectively,}$$

we then get unitaries on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$,

$$Uf = f \circ \sigma, \ \ V_1 f = v_1 f, \ \ \dots, \ \ V_n f = v_n f.$$

These unitaries satisfy the commutator equations

$$\textbf{(CR)}_n \qquad [U, V_1] = \lambda, \ \ [U, V_2] = V_1, \ \ \dots, \ \ [U, V_n] = V_{n-1},$$

(where $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ and all other pairs of operators from $U, V_1, \dots, V_n$ commute). A "discrete group construction" [17] shows how to construct a group from unitaries like this; use $\textbf{(CR)}_n$ to collect terms in the product

$$(\lambda^{k_1} V_1^{k_2} V_2^{k_3} \dots V_n^{k_{n+1}} U^k)(\lambda^{k'_1} V_1^{k'_2} V_2^{k'_3} \dots V_n^{k'_{n+1}} U^{k'})$$

then, the exponents give the multiplication for a group $\mathfrak{D}_n (= \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{Z}$, as a set). In fact $\mathfrak{D}_n = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_\eta \mathbb{Z}$ is a semidirect product for which $\eta : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\eta(k) = \eta_k = \mathsf{M}_n^k$, where M_n is a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix defined as

$$\mathsf{M}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)},$$

and (by induction) one can show that $\mathsf{M}_n^k = [m_{ij}^{(k)}]$, where

$$m_{ij}^{(k)} = \binom{k}{j-i}$$

with the following notation.

Notation 2.1.

$$\binom{k}{r} := \begin{cases} \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-r+1)}{r!}, & \text{if } 0 \le r < k \text{ or } (k < 0 \text{ and } r > 0); \\ 1, & \text{if } r = (k + |k|)/2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that in this construction, we identify an element $((k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}),k)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ with $\lambda^{k_1}V_1^{k_2}V_2^{k_3}\dots V_n^{k_{n+1}}U^k$ and the multiplication of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}((k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}),k).((k'_1,\dots,k'_{n+1}),k') &= \\ &((k_1,\dots,k_{n+1})+\eta_k(k'_1,\dots,k'_{n+1}),k+k').\end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that $\mathfrak{D}_n$ is the discrete group generated by $x,y_0,y_1,\dots,$ y_n such that $xy_0=y_0x$ and $y_iy_j=y_jy_i$ for $0\le i,j\le n$ and

$$[x,y_1]=y_0,\ \ [x,y_2]=y_1,\ \ \dots,\ \ [x,y_n]=y_{n-1} \tag{1}$$

The group $\mathfrak{D}_n$ is discrete, nilpotent, finitely generated and torsion-free. So by a result of Malcev [16], it follows that $\mathfrak{D}_n$ is isomorphic to a discrete cocompact subgroup of a connected $(n+2)$-dimensional nilpotent Lie group F_n. More precisely, one can verify that the corresponding Lie algebra is the so called **generic filiform Lie algebra** [6, 7] $\mathfrak{f}_n$, which is spanned by $X,Y_0,Y_1,\dots,Y_n$ with non-zero brackets

$$[X,Y_1]=Y_0,\ \ [X,Y_2]=Y_1,\ \ \dots,\ \ [X,Y_n]=Y_{n-1}. \tag{2}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ denote the C^*-algebra generated by the unitaries $U,V_1,\dots,V_n$. Note that U,V_n generate $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$; the unitaries $V_1,\dots,V_n$ have been introduced only to control the notation. An obvious property of the construction is that

$$\pi:((k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}),k)\longmapsto\lambda^{k_1}V_1^{k_2}V_2^{k_3}\dots V_n^{k_{n+1}}U^k$$

is a unitary representation of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ that generates $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$.

3. The faithful simple quotients $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$

Let $\lambda:=e^{2\pi i\theta}$ for an irrational number θ. Since $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is generated by a representation of $\mathfrak{D}_n$, it is a quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\lambda:=e^{2\pi i\theta}$ for an irrational number θ.*

(a) *There is a unique (up to isomorphism) C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ generated by unitaries $U,V_1,\dots,V_n$ satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$; $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is simple and is universal for the equations $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$. Let σ be the homeomorphism used in the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}\cong\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}.$$

(b) *Let π' be a representation of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ such that $\pi=\pi'$ (as scalars) on the center $((\mathbb{Z},0,\dots,0),0)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_n$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra generated by π'. Then $\mathcal{A}\cong\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ via a unique isomorphism $\omega:\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}\to\mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega\circ\pi=\pi'$.*

(c) *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ has a unique tracial state.*

(d) *There is an automorphism α of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}\cong\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. (a) The flow $\mathcal{F}$ used in the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is minimal [5] , so the C^*-crossed product $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$ is simple [3, 25]. On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ provides a covariant representation of the dynamical system $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n),\mathbb{Z},\sigma)$. More precisely, let $\varphi : k \mapsto U^k$; $\varphi(k)(g) = g\circ\sigma^k$ be the unitary representation of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\nu : f \mapsto M_f$; $M_f(g) = fg$ be the $*$-representation of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ $(g \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$. Then it is easy to see that (ν,φ) is a covariant pair for $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n),\mathbb{Z},\sigma)$. Hence by the universal property of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$, there is a $*$-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z} \to C^*(\nu(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)),\varphi(\mathbb{Z}))$ obtained by setting $\rho(\sum_k f_k u^k) = \sum_k M_{f_k}U^k$ (u is the unitary implementing the automorphism σ) on the dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\mathbb{Z}$ and extending by continuity on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$. ρ is surjective since $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is unital and $C^*(\nu(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n),\varphi(\mathbb{Z}))$ is the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ generated by U and the multiplication operators M_f coming from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. But, as $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is generated by the coordinate functions $v_1,\dots,v_n$, $\nu(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ is generated by special unitary operators $\nu(v_i) = M_{v_i} = V_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,n$. So, $C^*(\nu(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)),u(\mathbb{Z})) = \mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. We now have a surjective $*$- homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ with $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$ simple. Therefore ρ is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is simple too.

Now, let $\mathcal{A}'$ be another C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $U', V'_1,\dots,V'_n$ satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$. Since $V'_1,\dots,V'_n$ commute, there is a $*$-homomorphism $\mu : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\mu(v_i) = V'_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,n$. In fact $\mu(f) = f(V'_1,\dots,V'_n)$. Let $\widetilde{\omega} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be the unitary representation $\widetilde{\omega}(k) = {U'}^k$. Noting that $\mu(f\circ\sigma^k) = \widetilde{\omega}(k)\mu(f)\widetilde{\omega}(k)^*$ holds for $f = v_1,\dots,v_n$ and hence for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$; by the universal property of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$, the covariant pair $(\mu,\widetilde{\omega})$ yields a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$ onto $\mathcal{A}'$ mapping v_i to V'_i for $i = 1,\dots,n$, and u to U'. So, $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is universal for equations $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$.

(b) The hypothesis imply that $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$ is satisfied by the unitaries U', V'_1, $\dots$, V'_n given by

$$\pi'((k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}),k) = \lambda^{k_1}{V'_1}^{k_2}{V'_2}^{k_3}\dots{V'_n}^{k_{n+1}}{U'}^k.$$

Part (a) and its proof now yields the result.

(c) This flow is minimal and uniquely ergodic with respect to (normalized) Haar measure λ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ [5]. So, $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ has a unique tracial state τ given by $\tau(\sum_k f_k u^k) = \int f_0\, d\lambda$ [2, Corollary VIII.3.8, p. 91].

(d) Let $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}$ be generated by operators $U', V'_1,\dots,V'_{n-1}$ satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_{n-1}$. Define α as $\alpha(U') = {V'}^{-1}_{n-1}U'$, $\alpha(V'_i) = V'_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,n-1$. Since $\alpha(U')$, $\alpha(V'_1)$,$\dots$, $\alpha(V'_{n-1})$ also satisfy $\mathbf{(CR)}_{n-1}$, α can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}$. Define a $*$-homomorphism $p : \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta} \to \mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ by $p(U') = U$ and $p(V'_i) = V_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,n-1$, and a unitary representation $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ by $\psi(k) = V_n^k$. (p,ψ) is a covariant pair for $(\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta},\mathbb{Z},\alpha)$ since the equality $p(\alpha^{(k)})(a) = V_n^k\pi(a)V_n^{-k}$ holds for $a = U', V'_1,\dots,V'_{n-1}$; hence for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}$. So, there is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta}\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ mapping U' to U and V'_i to V_i for $i = 1,\dots,n-1$, and V'_n (the unitary implementing the automorphism α) to V_n.

Conversely, $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the unitaries $U', V_1', \dots, V_{n-1}'$ and V_n' satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$. So, by universality of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$, there is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ mapping U to U' and V_i to V_i' for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, these two $*$-homomorphisms are inverses of each other. □

4. Non-faithful simple quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$

When $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ for an irrational number θ, $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ is a simple quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ and the representation

$$\pi : ((k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}), k) \longmapsto \lambda^{k_1} V_1^{k_2} V_2^{k_3} \dots V_n^{k_{n+1}} U^k;\ \mathfrak{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$$

is faithful. But there are other infinite dimensional simple quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$; for them π is not faithful.

Suppose that λ is a primitive q_1-th root of unity and that A is a simple quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ that is irreducibly represented and generated by unitaries $U, V_1, \dots, V_n$ satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$. Then $V_1^{q_1}$ commutes with U and V_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and so by irreducibility equals $\tilde{\mu}_1 I$, a multiple of identity. Take $V_1 = \mu_1 V_1'$ for $\mu_1^{q_1} = \tilde{\mu}_1$, so that $V_1'^{q_1} = 1$, and substitute $V_1 = \mu_1 V_1'$ in $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$ to get

$$\mathbf{(CR)}_{n,1} \qquad \begin{cases} [U, V_1'] = \lambda,\ [U, V_2] = \mu_1 V_1', \\ [U, V_3] = V_2,\ \dots,\ [U, V_n] = V_{n-1}, \\ V_1'^{q_1} = I \end{cases}$$

1. If μ_1 is not a root of unity, then we can modify the presentation $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ for $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ in Theorem 3.1 and present the operators $U, V_1', V_2, \dots,$ V_n, and their generated algebra $A_1^{(n)}$, with the flow $\mathcal{F}_1 = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ generated by the homeomorphism ϕ_1 of $\mathbf{X}_1 := \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$,

$$\phi_1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \dots, v_{n-1} v_n).$$

To see that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is minimal, we use a lemma on minimality of skew products of dynamical systems with $\mathbb{T}$ [5, 2.1, 2.3]. In fact, let (X, ϕ) be a dynamical system, where X is a compact metric space and ϕ is a homeomorphism of X. Let $g : X \to \mathbb{T}$ be a continuous function and consider the dynamical system $(X \times \mathbb{T}, \Phi)$ defined by $\Phi(x, \zeta) = (\phi(x), g(x)\zeta)$, which is called a *skew product* of (X, ϕ) with $\mathbb{T}$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. ([5, 2.1, 2.3]) *Let (X, ϕ) be a minimal dynamical system and $g : X \to \mathbb{T}$ be a continuous function. Consider the skew product dynamical system $(X \times \mathbb{T}, \Phi)$ as above. Then $(X \times \mathbb{T}, \Phi)$ is minimal if, and only if, for any non-zero integer k, the functional equation*

$$(\triangle) \qquad g^k = \frac{R \circ \phi}{R}$$

has no continuous solution $R : X \to \mathbb{T}$.

Using the preceding lemma, we can prove the minimality of $\mathcal{F}_1$ as follows by induction on n. For $n = 2$, we use the preceding lemma, although [17, Theorem 3] yields the result. In this case, $X = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1}$, $\phi(v_1) = \lambda v_1$ and $g(v_1) = \mu_1 v_1$ for $v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_1}$. ϕ is clearly minimal and suppose that ($\triangle$) has a solution $R : \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \to \mathbb{T}$ for some $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then one can easily obtain $R(\lambda^j) = R(1)\mu_1^{kj}\lambda^{k\binom{j}{2}}$, which yields the contradiction $\mu_1^{kq_1}\lambda^{k\binom{q_1}{2}} = 1$ since μ_1 is not a root of unity but λ is a root of unity. Thus $\mathcal{F}_1$ is minimal.
Now, suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is minimal for $n = m-1$. In this case $X = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{m-2}$, $\phi(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1}) = (\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \ldots, v_{m-2}v_{m-1})$ and $g(v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}) = v_{m-1}$. If ($\triangle$) has a solution $R : X \to \mathbb{T}$ for some $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$v_{m-1}^k = R(\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \ldots, v_{m-2}v_{m-1})/R(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1})$$

for all $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1}) \in X$. But this equality is impossible, for $R(v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1})$ would have a certain degree in v_{m-1} and one verifies that $R(\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \ldots, v_{m-2}v_{m-1})$ has the same degree in v_{m-1}, hence the right side of the above equality would have degree 0 but the left side has degree $k \neq 0$. So the skew product system $(X \times \mathbb{T}, \Phi) = (\mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{m-1}, \phi_1)$ is minimal.

Now take $\mathbf{Y}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1}$. So the C^*-crossed product $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \rtimes_{\phi_1} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple and isomorphic to $A_1^{(n)}$.

2. Suppose that μ_1 is also a root of unity, say a primitive p_1-th root of unity, and let $q_2 = \operatorname{lcm}\{q_1, p_1\}$, the least common multiple of q_1 and p_1. Then $V_2^{q_2} = \tilde{\mu}_2 I$, a multiple of the identity. If $\tilde{\mu}_2$ is not a root of unity, substitute $V_2 = \mu_2 V_2'$ (as well as $V_1 = \mu_1 V_1'$) in $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$, where $\mu_2^{q_2} = \tilde{\mu}_2$, and get

$$\mathbf{(CR)}_{n,2} \qquad \begin{cases} [U, V_1'] = \lambda,\ [U, V_2'] = \mu_1 V_1',\ [U, V_3] = \mu_2 V_2', \\ [U, V_4] = V_3,\ \ldots,\ [U, V_n] = V_{n-1}, \\ V_1'^{q_1} = V_2'^{q_2} = I \end{cases}$$

Then we can present the generated algebra $A_2^{(n)}$ using the homeomorphism ϕ_2 on $\mathbf{X}_2 := \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-2}$,

$$\phi_2(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = (\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, \mu_2 v_2 v_3, v_3 v_4, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n).$$

The flow $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{X}_2)$ that ϕ_2 generates is usually not minimal, but we can restrict ϕ_2 to $\mathbf{Y}_2 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-2} \subset \mathbf{X}_2$, where $\mathbf{Y}_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2}$ is the finite set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_2 &= \{(v_1, v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \phi_2^r(1, 1, \mathbb{T}^{n-2}) \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{(\lambda^r, \lambda^{\binom{r}{2}}\mu_1^r) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the flow $\mathcal{F}_2 = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{Y}_2 \times \mathbb{T}_{n-2})$ is minimal; the proof of this is similar to the minimality proof in case 1 above. So $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_2 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-2}) \rtimes_{\phi_2} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple and isomorphic to $A_2^{(n)}$.

Continue this process assuming μ_2 is also a root of unity and so on down to the following last cases

$(n-1)$. When μ_{n-2} is also a root of unity, say a primitive p_{n-2}-th root of unity, let

$$q_{n-1} = \operatorname{lcm}\{q_{n-2}, p_{n-2}\} = \operatorname{lcm}\{q_1, p_1, \dots, p_{n-2}\}$$

Then $V_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}} = \tilde{\mu}_{n-1} I$, a multiple of the identity. If $\tilde{\mu}_{n-1}$ is not a root of unity, substitute $V_{n-1} = \mu_{n-1} V'_{n-1}$ (as well as $V_i = \mu_i V'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$) in $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$, where $\mu_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}} = \tilde{\mu}_{n-1}$, and get

$$\mathbf{(CR)}_{n,n-1} \qquad \begin{cases} [U, V'_1] = \lambda, \ [U, V'_{i+1}] = \mu_i V'_i, \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2), \\ [U, V_n] = \mu_{n-1} V'_{n-1}, \\ V_1'^{q_1} = V_2'^{q_2} = \dots = V'^{q_{n-1}}_{n-1} = I \end{cases}$$

Then we can present the generated algebra $A_{n-1}^{(n)}$ using the homeomorphism ϕ_{n-1} on $\mathbf{X}_{n-1} := \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_{n-1}} \times \mathbb{T}$,

$$\phi_{n-1}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (\lambda v_1, \mu_1 v_1 v_2, \mu_2 v_2 v_3, \dots, \mu_{n-1} v_{n-1} v_n).$$

The flow $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{X}_{n-1})$ that ϕ_{n-1} generates is usually not minimal, but we can restrict ϕ_{n-1} to $\mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbf{X}_{n-1}$, where $\mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ is the finite set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} = \{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}) \mid (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, 1) \in \phi_{n-1}^r(1, 1, \dots, 1, \mathbb{T}), \\ \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ = \{(\lambda^r, \lambda^{\binom{r}{2}} \mu_1^r, \lambda^{\binom{r}{3}} \mu_1^{\binom{r}{2}} \mu_2^r, \dots, \lambda^{\binom{r}{n-1}} \mu_1^{\binom{r}{n-2}} \mu_2^{\binom{r}{n-3}} \dots \mu_{n-2}^r) \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the flow $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{T})$ is minimal; the proof of this is similar to the minimality proof in case 1 above. So $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{T}) \rtimes_{\phi_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple and isomorphic to $A_{n-1}^{(n)}$.

n. When μ_{n-1} is a root of unity (as well as μ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$), all the unitaries are of finite order, so the generated C^*-algebra A is finite dimensional.

The preceding comments are summarized in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *A C^*-algebra A is isomorphic to a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ if, and only if, A is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ for some irrational number θ, or to an $A_i^{(n)} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\phi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ for a suitable finite set $\mathbf{Y}_i$ as in cases $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ above.*

A result that has been used implicitly above, and should be stated explicitly, is the analogue of Theorem 3.1 that holds for $A_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 4.3. *For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $A_i^{(n)}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $U, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$ satisfying $\mathbf{(CR)}_{n,i}$; $A_i^{(n)}$ is simple and is universal for the equations $\mathbf{(CR)}_{n,i}$.*

As for Theorem 3.1, the result is a consequence of the minimality of the flow involved.

Remark 4.4. There are concrete representations for the $A_i^{(n)}$'s that are analogous to the concrete representation on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ used in the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ (and indeed the $A_i^{(n)}$'s could have been defined in terms of these concrete representations). For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the representation for $A_i^{(n)}$ uses the flow $\mathcal{F}_i$ and is on $L^2(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i})$.

5. Matrix representations for non-faithful quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$

The algebras $A_i^{(n)}$ above have representations as matrix algebras with entries in simple C^*-algebras from faithful representations of groups of lower dimension. More precisely, let $B_i^{(n)}$ be the universal C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_{n-i}$ satisfying the following commutator relations

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{(CR)}}_{n,i} \qquad \begin{cases} [\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1] = \zeta_i \\ [\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_2] = \tilde{V}_1^{C_i} \\ [\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_3] = \tilde{V}_1^{\binom{C_i}{2}} \tilde{V}_2^{C_i} \\ \vdots \\ [\tilde{U}, \widetilde{V_{n-i}}] = \tilde{V}_1^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}} \tilde{V}_2^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}} \dots \tilde{V}_{n-i-1}^{C_i} \\ [\tilde{V}_r, \tilde{V}_s] = 1 \ (1 \le r, s \le n-i), \end{cases}$$

where ζ_i is not a root of unity. Then we will see in Theorem 5.8 below that $A_i^{(n)} \cong M_{C_i}(B_i^{(n)})$. Note that according to Remark 1.5, $B_i^{(n)}$ is a simple (affine) Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebra of $\mathbb{T}^{n-i}$ since $C_i \neq 0$ (note that $b_{rs} = \binom{C_i}{s-r}$). The group generated by $\widetilde{\mathbf{(CR)}}_{n,i}$ (as $\mathfrak{D}_n$ was generated by $\mathbf{(CR)}_n$) is $\mathfrak{D}'_{n,i}$ ($= \mathbb{Z}^{n-i+1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$). We will see in Corollary 5.5 below that $\mathfrak{D}'_{n,i}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathfrak{D}_{n-i}$.

More generally, let $\alpha : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ be an affine Furstenberg transformation given by

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (e^{2\pi i\zeta} v_1, v_1^{b_{12}} v_2, v_1^{b_{13}} v_2^{b_{23}} v_3, \dots, v_1^{b_{1n}} v_2^{b_{2n}} \dots v_{n-1}^{b_{n-1,n}} v_n),$$

where ζ is an irrational number and $b_{i,i+1} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Let $A := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. Then A is a simple C^*-algebra, which is the unique C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $U, V_1, \dots, V_n$ satisfying the commutator relations

$$\mathbf{(CR)}_\alpha \qquad [U, V_1] = e^{2\pi i\zeta}, \ \ [U, V_2] = V_1^{b_{12}}, \ \ \dots, \ \ [U, V_n] = V_1^{b_{1n}} \dots V_{n-1}^{b_{n-1,n}}$$

(all other pairs of operators from $U, V_1, \ldots, V_n$ commute). Let Γ_α denote the group generated by $(\mathbf{CR})_\alpha$. Then $\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$, in which $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\gamma(k) = \gamma_k = \mathsf{G}_\alpha^k$, where G_α is a matrix defined as

$$\mathsf{G}_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b_{23} & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-2,n} \\ \vdots & & & 0 & 1 & b_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \cdots & & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} \tag{3}$$

One can check that Γ_α is the discrete group generated by $x', y'_0, y'_1, \ldots, y'_n$ such that $x'y'_0 = y'_0x'$ and $y'_iy'_j = y'_jy'_i$ for $0 \le i, j \le n$ and

$$[x', y'_1] = y'_0, \ [x', y'_2] = {y'_1}^{b_{12}}, \ \ldots, \ [x', y'_n] = {y'_1}^{b_{1n}} \ldots {y'}^{b_{n-1,n}}_{n-1}. \tag{4}$$

Since A is generated by a representation of Γ_α, it is a quotient of $C^*(\Gamma_\alpha)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Γ_α denote the group generated by $(\mathbf{CR})_\alpha$ as above. Then there is a monomorphism $\iota : \Gamma_\alpha \to \mathfrak{D}_n$.*

Proof. We construct ι recursively. Let $x, y_0, \ldots, y_n$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ as in Section 1 satisfying (1) and $x', y'_0, y'_1, \ldots, y'_n$ be the generators of Γ_α satisfying (4), as above. Take $\iota(x') = x$ and $\iota(y'_j) = y_j$ for $j = 0, 1$. Now to define $\iota(y'_k)$, note that we should have $\iota([x', y'_k]) = [\iota(x'), \iota(y'_k)] = [x, \iota(y'_k)] = \iota({y'_1}^{b_{1k}} \ldots {y'}^{b_{k-1,k}}_{k-1}) = \iota(y'_1)^{b_{1k}} \ldots \iota(y'_{k-1})^{b_{k-1,k}}$. For example, $[x, \iota(y'_2)] = \iota(y'_1)^{b_{12}} = y_1^{b_{12}}$, so $\iota(y'_2)$ must be defined as $y_2^{b_{12}}$ according to equations (1). Similarly, $\iota(y'_3) = y_2^{b_{13}} y_3^{b_{12}b_{23}}$ and $\iota(y'_4) = y_2^{b_{14}} y_3^{b_{12}b_{24}+b_{13}b_{34}} y_3^{b_{12}b_{23}b_{34}}$ and so on. Therefore using induction, one can show that $\iota(y'_k)$ is of the form $y_2^{c_{2k}} y_3^{c_{3k}} \ldots y_k^{c_{kk}}$ $(k > 2)$ and $c_{kk} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_{i,i+1} \neq 0$. This will also guarantee the injectivity of ι, for the matrix (c_{ij}) (for $2 \le i, j \le n$; $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$) is upper triangular with non-zero diagonal entries. □

Remark 5.2. In a similar way, one can see that there is also a monomorphism $\iota' : \mathfrak{D}_n \to \Gamma_\alpha$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$, where $\gamma(k) = \mathsf{G}^k$ for some $\mathsf{G} \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $[\Gamma, \Gamma] = (\mathsf{G} - \mathsf{I})\mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes_\gamma \{0\}$ and*

$$\frac{\Gamma}{[\Gamma, \Gamma]} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}^m}{(\mathsf{G} - \mathsf{I})\mathbb{Z}^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{G} - \mathsf{I}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For arbitrary $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(i = 1, 2)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{x}_1, k_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, k_2)] &= (\mathbf{x}_1, k_1)(\mathbf{x}_2, k_2)(\mathbf{x}_1, k_1)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_2, k_2)^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_1, k_1)(\mathbf{x}_2, k_2)(\mathsf{G}^{-k_1}(-\mathbf{x}_1), -k_1)(\mathsf{G}^{-k_2}(-\mathbf{x}_2), -k_2) \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathsf{G}^{k_1}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \mathsf{G}^{k_2}(-\mathbf{x}_1) - \mathbf{x}_2, 0) \\ &= ((\mathsf{G}^{k_1} - \mathsf{I})\mathbf{x}_2 - (\mathsf{G}^{k_2} - \mathsf{I})\mathbf{x}_1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

So it is easily seen that

$$[\Gamma,\Gamma]=\{((\mathsf{G}-\mathsf{I})\mathbf{x},0)\mid \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^m\}=(\mathsf{G}-\mathsf{I})\mathbb{Z}^m\rtimes_\gamma\{0\}.$$

For the next part, one can check that $\varphi:\Gamma\to\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{G}-\mathsf{I})\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\varphi(\mathbf{x},k)=(\mathbf{x}+(\mathsf{G}-\mathsf{I})\mathbb{Z}^m,k)$ is an epimorphism with $\ker\varphi=((\mathsf{G}-\mathsf{I})\mathbb{Z}^m,0)=[\Gamma,\Gamma]$. ☐

Corollary 5.4. *If $|b_{i,i+1}|\neq 1$ for some $i\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}$, then Γ_α is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_n$.*

Proof. Using the preceding lemma, $\mathfrak{D}_n/[\mathfrak{D}_n,\mathfrak{D}_n]\cong\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{M}_n-\mathsf{I})\oplus\mathbb{Z}\cong\mathbb{Z}^2$ where the matrix M_n was defined in Section 2, and $\Gamma_\alpha/[\Gamma_\alpha,\Gamma_\alpha]\cong\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{G}_\alpha-\mathsf{I})\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ and G_α is the matrix defined in (3). But it is easy to see that $\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{G}_\alpha-\mathsf{I})=\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{B})\oplus\mathbb{Z}$, where

$$\mathsf{B}=\begin{bmatrix} b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n}\\ 0 & b_{23} & \cdots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-2,n}\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{n-1,n}\end{bmatrix}_{(n-1)\times(n-1)}.$$

Thus $\Gamma_\alpha/[\Gamma_\alpha,\Gamma_\alpha]\cong\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{B})\oplus\mathbb{Z}^2$. So a necessary condition for $\Gamma_\alpha\cong\mathfrak{D}_n$ is that $\operatorname{coker}(\mathsf{B})=0$ i.e. $|\det\mathsf{B}|=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}|b_{i,i+1}|=1$, which ends the proof. ☐

Corollary 5.5. *$\mathfrak{D}'_{n,i}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathfrak{D}_{n-i}$, and is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_{n-i}$ unless $C_i=1$.*

Proof. Note that in this special case, $b_{rs}=\binom{C_i}{s-r}$. Now use the preceding corollary and Lemma 5.1. ☐

Lemma 5.6. *Let $C_i=|\mathbf{Y}_i|$ be the cardinality of $\mathbf{Y}_i$ for $i=1,\dots,n-1$. Then the flow $(\mathbb{Z},\mathbf{Y}_i\times\mathbb{T}^{n-i})$ generated by ϕ_i is topologically conjugate to a flow $(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_{C_i}\times\mathbb{T}^{n-i})$ generated by*

$$\psi_i:(v_i,v_{i+1},\dots,v_n)\longmapsto(\lambda_iv_i,\eta_iv_iv_{i+1},v_{i+1}v_{i+2},\dots,v_{n-1}v_n),$$

where λ_i is a primitive C_i-th root of unity and $\eta_i\in\mathbb{T}$ is chosen appropriately.

Proof. We construct a homeomorphism $\tau_i:\mathbf{Y}_i\times\mathbb{T}^{n-i}\to\mathbb{Z}_{C_i}\times\mathbb{T}^{n-i}$ that commutes with the actions of $\mathbb{Z}$ i.e. $\tau_i\circ\phi_i=\psi_i\circ\tau_i$. For the moment, fix $\nu_{i+1},\nu_{i+2},\dots,\nu_{n-1}\in\mathbb{T}$, and define τ_i as follows for $v_{i+1},\dots,v_n\in\mathbb{T}$:

$$\tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i},v_{i+1},\dots,v_n)=(1,\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1},\nu_{i+2}v_{i+2},\dots,\nu_{n-1}v_{n-1},v_n)$$

step (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i \circ \phi_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) = \\
&\qquad\qquad \underline{\tau_i(\lambda, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{i-1}, \mu_i v_{i+1}, v_{i+1}v_{i+2}, \dots, v_{n-1}v_n)} \\
&\qquad\qquad = \psi_i \circ \tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) \\
&\qquad\qquad = \psi_i(1, \nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \nu_{i+2}v_{i+2}, \dots, \nu_{n-1}v_{n-1}, v_n) \\
&= \underline{(\lambda_i, \eta_i\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \nu_{i+1}\nu_{i+2}v_{i+1}v_{i+2}, \dots, \nu_{n-2}\nu_{n-1}v_{n-2}v_{n-1}, \nu_{n-1}v_{n-1}v_n)},
\end{aligned}$$

step (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i \circ \phi_i^2(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) = \\
&\underline{\tau_i(\lambda^2, \lambda\mu_1^2, \mu_1\mu_2^2, \dots, \mu_{i-2}\mu_{i-1}^2, \mu_{i-1}\mu_i^2 v_{i+1}, \mu_i v_{i+1}^2 v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}v_{i+2}^2 v_{i+3}, \dots,} \\
&\underline{v_{n-2}v_{n-1}^2 v_n)} \\
&= \psi_i^2 \circ \tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) \\
&= \psi_i(\lambda_i, \eta_i\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \nu_{i+1}\nu_{i+2}v_{i+1}v_{i+2}, \dots, \nu_{n-2}\nu_{n-1}v_{n-2}v_{n-1}, \nu_{n-1}v_{n-1}v_n) \\
&\quad = \underline{(\lambda_i^2, \lambda_i\eta_i^2\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \eta_i\nu_{i+1}^2\nu_{i+2}v_{i+1}^2v_{i+2}, \nu_{i+2}\nu_{i+3}^2\nu_{i+4}v_{i+2}v_{i+3}^2v_{i+4}, \dots,} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \underline{\nu_{n-3}\nu_{n-2}^2\nu_{n-1}v_{n-3}v_{n-2}^2v_{n-1}, \nu_{n-2}\nu_{n-1}^2v_{n-2}v_{n-1}^2v_n)},
\end{aligned}$$

and so on down to
step (C_i):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i \circ \phi_i^{C_i}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) \\
&= \tau_i(\lambda^{C_i}, \lambda^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\mu_1^{C_i}, \lambda^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\mu_2^{C_i}, \dots, \lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-2}}\dots\mu_{i-1}^{C_i}, \\
&\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i}v_{i+1}, \dots, \\
&\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{n}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{n-1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{n-2}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}}v_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+1}}v_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+2}}\dots v_n) \\
&= \underline{\tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, \lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i}v_{i+1}, \dots,} \\
&\underline{\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{n}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{n-1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{n-2}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}}v_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+1}}v_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+2}}\dots v_n)} \\
&= \psi_i^{C_i} \circ \tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n) = \psi_i^{C_i}(1, \nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\lambda_i^{C_i}, \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\eta_i^{C_i}\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\nu_{i+1}^{C_i}\nu_{i+2}v_{i+1}^{C_i}v_{i+2}, \dots, \\
&\qquad\qquad \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+1}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}}\nu_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}}\nu_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}}\dots\nu_{n-1}^{C_i}v_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}}v_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}}\dots v_n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underline{(1, \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\eta_i^{C_i}\nu_{i+1}v_{i+1}, \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\nu_{i+1}^{C_i}\nu_{i+2}v_{i+1}^{C_i}v_{i+2}, \dots,} \\
&\qquad\qquad \underline{\lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+1}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}}\nu_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}}\nu_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}}\dots\nu_{n-1}^{C_i}v_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}}v_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}}\dots v_n).}
\end{aligned}$$

The definition of τ_i on $(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i}, \mathbb{T}^{n-i})$ at this last step must coincide with the definition at the first step, so η_i and $\nu_{i+1}, \nu_{i+2}, \dots, \nu_{n-1}$ are chosen to satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i} &= \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\eta_i^{C_i}, \\
\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+2}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}} &= \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\nu_{i+1}^{C_i}, \\
\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+3}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i+2}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}} &= \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{4}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\nu_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\nu_{i+2}^{C_i}, \\
&\ \,\vdots \\
\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{n}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{n-1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{n-2}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}} &= \lambda_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i+1}}\eta_i^{\binom{C_i}{n-i}}\nu_{i+1}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-1}}\nu_{i+2}^{\binom{C_i}{n-i-2}}\dots\nu_{n-1}^{C_i},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., choose η_i to be a C_i-th root of

$$\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i}\overline{\lambda_i}^{\binom{C_i}{2}} = (-1)^{C_i+1}\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i}$$

$(\overline{\lambda_i}^{\binom{C_i}{2}} = (-1)^{C_i+1}$ since λ_i is a primitive C_i-th root of unity), and then choose ν_{i+1} to be a C_i-th root of

$$\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+2}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{2}}\overline{\lambda_i}^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\overline{\eta_i}^{\binom{C_i}{2}},$$

and then choose ν_{i+2} to be a C_i-th root of

$$\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+3}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i+2}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\dots\mu_i^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\overline{\lambda_i}^{\binom{C_i}{4}}\overline{\eta_i}^{\binom{C_i}{3}}\overline{\nu_{i+1}}^{\binom{C_i}{2}},$$

and so on choose $\nu_{i+3}, \dots, \nu_{n-1}$ recursively to satisfy the above equations.

To see that τ_i commutes with the actions of $\mathbb{Z}$, take a point $P \in \mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}$. Then $P = \phi_i^r(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n)$ for some $0 \le r < C_i$ and $v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \in$

$\mathbb{T}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i \circ \phi_i(P) &= \tau_i \circ \phi_i^{r+1}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n)\\ &= \psi_i^{r+1} \circ \tau_i(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n)\\ &= \psi_i \circ \tau_i \circ \phi_i^{r}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{i}, v_{i+1},\dots,v_n)\\ &= \psi_i \circ \tau_i(P),\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Corollary 5.7. *$A_i^{(n)} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_i} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\psi_i} \mathbb{Z}$, where ψ_i was introduced in the preceding lemma. In particular, the algebra $A_i^{(n)}$ is also a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_{n-i+1})$.*

Proof. The first part is clear, in view of the preceding lemma. For the second part, note that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_i} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\psi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by unitaries satisfying $(\mathbf{CR})_{n-i+1,1}$ (Theorem 4.3). □

Theorem 5.8. *The algebra $A_i^{(n)} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\phi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ above is isomorphic to $M_{C_i}(B_i^{(n)})$, where $B_i^{(n)}$ is the C^*-algebra generated by $\widetilde{(\mathbf{CR})}_{n,i}$ for $\zeta_i = (-1)^{C_i+1}\eta_i^{C_i}$ and $i = 1,\dots,n-1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, $A_i^{(n)} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{C_i} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\psi_i} \mathbb{Z}$, hence this crossed product is simple too. For convenience, put $q := C_i$ and $m := n-i$ and let $D = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{T}^m) \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\psi(v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) = (\lambda v, \eta v v_1, v_1 v_2, \dots, v_{m-1} v_m)$, in which λ is a primitive q-th root of unity and η not a root of unity. Since D is simple, it is the unique C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $U, V, V_1, \dots, V_m$ such that $V^q = I$ and

$$[U,V] = \lambda,\ [U,V_1] = \eta V,\ [U,V_2] = V_1,\ \dots,\ [U,V_m] = V_{m-1} \tag{5}$$

(all other pairs of unitaries from $U, V, V_1, \dots, V_m$ commute).
Let $\zeta := (-1)^{q+1}\eta^q$ and B be the unique (simple) C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_m$ such that

$$[\tilde{U},\tilde{V}_1] = \zeta,\ [\tilde{U},\tilde{V}_2] = \tilde{V}_1^q,\ \dots,\ [\tilde{U},\tilde{V}_m] = \tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{m-1}}\tilde{V}_2^{\binom{q}{m-2}}\dots\tilde{V}_{m-1}^q \tag{6}$$

(all other pairs of unitaries from $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_m$ commute). We prove that $D \cong M_q(B)$. Define unitaries $U', V', V_1', \dots, V_m'$ in $M_q(B)$ as follows (all unspecified entries being 0).

U' has $\tilde{U}$ in the upper right-hand corner and 1's on the subdiagonal.
$V' = \operatorname{diag}(1, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}^2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}^{q-1})$.
$V_1' = \operatorname{diag}(b\tilde{V}_1, b\bar{\eta}\lambda\tilde{V}_1, b\bar{\eta}^2\lambda^3\tilde{V}_1, \dots, b\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_1)$.
$V_2' = \operatorname{diag}(c_{21}\tilde{V}_2, c_{22}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2, c_{23}\tilde{V}_1^{-2}\tilde{V}_2, \dots, c_{2q}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)$.

$$V_3' = \operatorname{diag}(c_{31}\tilde{V}_3, c_{32}\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^{-1}\tilde{V}_3, c_{33}\tilde{V}_1^3\tilde{V}_2^{-2}\tilde{V}_3, \dots, c_{3q}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_3).$$
$$\vdots$$
$$V_m' = \operatorname{diag}(c_{m1}\tilde{V}_m, c_{m2}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-2}}\dots\tilde{V}_m, \dots,$$
$$c_{mq}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-2}\binom{q+m-4}{m-2}}\dots\tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_m).$$

Thus the j-th entry of V_i' equals $c_{ij}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\tilde{V}_r^{(-1)^{i-r}\binom{j+i-r-2}{i-r}}$, and the constants b_i, $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{T}$ must be chosen so that the unitaries $U', V', V_1', \dots, V_m'$ satisfy (4). Note that $V'^q = I_q$ and U' has the property that

$$[U', \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{W}_1, \dots \tilde{W}_q)] = \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{U}\tilde{W}_q\tilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{W}_1^{-1}, \tilde{W}_1\tilde{W}_2^{-1}, \dots, \tilde{W}_{q-1}\tilde{W}_q^{-1})$$

for arbitrary invertibles $\tilde{W}_1, \dots \tilde{W}_q \in B$. Therefore

$$[U', V'] = \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\lambda}^{q-1}, \lambda, \dots, \lambda) = \lambda I_q.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[U', V_1'] &= \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{U}(b\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_1)\tilde{U}^{-1}(b\tilde{V}_1)^{-1}, (b\tilde{V}_1)(b\bar{\eta}\lambda\tilde{V}_1)^{-1}, \dots, \\
&\qquad (b\bar{\eta}^{q-2}\lambda^{\binom{q-1}{2}}\tilde{V}_1)(b\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_1)^{-1}) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}[\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1], \eta\bar{\lambda}, \dots, \eta\bar{\lambda}^{\binom{q}{2}-\binom{q-1}{2}}) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}\zeta, \eta\bar{\lambda}, \dots, \eta\bar{\lambda}^{q-1}) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}\zeta, \eta\bar{\lambda}, \dots, \eta\bar{\lambda}^{q-1}) \\
&= \eta V'
\end{aligned}$$

(note that since λ is a primitive q-th root of unity, one can easily see that $\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}} = (-1)^{q+1}$).

$$\begin{aligned}
[U', V_2'] &= \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{U}(c_{2q}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)\tilde{U}^{-1}(c_{21}\tilde{V}_2)^{-1}, (c_{21}\tilde{V}_2)(c_{22}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2)^{-1}, \dots, \\
&\qquad (c_{2,q-1}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-2)}\tilde{V}_2)(c_{2q}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)^{-1}) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{2q}}{c_{21}}(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{U}^{-1})(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_2\tilde{U}^{-1})\tilde{V}_2^{-1}, \frac{c_{21}}{c_{22}}\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \frac{c_{2,q-1}}{c_{2q}}\tilde{V}_1) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{2q}}{c_{21}}(\zeta^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)})(\tilde{V}_1^q\tilde{V}_2)\tilde{V}_2^{-1}, \frac{c_{21}}{c_{22}}\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \frac{c_{2,q-1}}{c_{2q}}\tilde{V}_1) \\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{2q}}{c_{21}}\zeta^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_1, \frac{c_{21}}{c_{22}}\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \frac{c_{2,q-1}}{c_{2q}}\tilde{V}_1) \\
&= V_1'.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds if, and only if,

$$\frac{c_{2q}}{c_{21}}\zeta^{-(q-1)} = b,\ \frac{c_{21}}{c_{22}} = b\bar{\eta}\lambda,\ \dots,\ \frac{c_{2,q-1}}{c_{2q}} = b\bar{\eta}^{q-1}\lambda^{\binom{q}{2}}. \tag{7}$$

By multiplying these equations, one obtains $b^q\bar{\eta}^{\binom{q}{2}}\lambda^{\binom{q+1}{3}} = \bar{\zeta}^{q-1}$, so one must choose b to be a q-th root of

$$\bar{\lambda}^{\binom{q+1}{3}}\eta^{\binom{q}{2}}\bar{\zeta}^{q-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[U',V_3'] &= \operatorname{diag}(\tilde{U}(c_{3q}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_3)\tilde{U}^{-1}(c_{31}\tilde{V}_3)^{-1}, (c_{31}\tilde{V}_3)(c_{32}\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^{-1}\tilde{V}_3)^{-1},\\
&\quad \ldots,\ (c_{3,q-1}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q-1}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-2)}\tilde{V}_3)(c_{3q}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_3)^{-1})\\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{3q}}{c_{31}}\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_3\tilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{V}_3^{-1}, \frac{c_{31}}{c_{32}}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2, \ldots, \frac{c_{3,q-1}}{c_{3q}}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q-1}{2}-\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2)\\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{3q}}{c_{31}}(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{U}^{-1})(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)}\tilde{U}^{-1})(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_3\tilde{U}^{-1})\tilde{V}_3^{-1}, \frac{c_{31}}{c_{32}}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2, \ldots,\\
&\quad \frac{c_{3,q-1}}{c_{3q}}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)\\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{3q}}{c_{31}}(\zeta^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}})(\tilde{V}_1^{-q(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2^{-(q-1)})(\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2^{q}\tilde{V}_3)\tilde{V}_3^{-1}, \frac{c_{31}}{c_{32}}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2, \ldots,\\
&\quad \frac{c_{3,q-1}}{c_{3q}}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)\\
&= \operatorname{diag}(\frac{c_{3q}}{c_{31}}\zeta^{\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_2, \frac{c_{31}}{c_{32}}\tilde{V}_1^{-1}\tilde{V}_2, \ldots, \frac{c_{3,q-1}}{c_{3q}}\tilde{V}_1^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_2)\\
&= V_2'.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds if, and only if,

$$\frac{c_{3q}}{c_{31}}\zeta^{\binom{q}{2}} = c_{21},\ \frac{c_{31}}{c_{32}} = c_{22},\ \ldots,\ \frac{c_{3,q-1}}{c_{3q}} = c_{2q}. \tag{8}$$

By multiplying the above equalities, one obtains $c_{21}\ldots c_{2q} = \zeta^{\binom{q}{2}}$ and combining with (6) one must choose c_{21} to be a q-th root of

$$\lambda^{\binom{q+2}{4}}\bar{\eta}^{\binom{q+1}{3}}b^{\binom{q}{2}}\zeta^{\binom{q}{2}}$$

and then

$$c_{2j} = c_{21}\bar{\lambda}^{\binom{j+1}{3}}\eta^{\binom{j}{2}}\bar{b}^{j-1}.$$

One can continue this procedure down to

$$\begin{aligned}
[U',V_m'] = \operatorname{diag}(&\tilde{U}(c_{mq}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}\ldots\tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_m)\tilde{U}^{-1}(c_{m1}\tilde{V}_m)^{-1},\\
&(c_{m1}\tilde{V}_m)(c_{m2}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-2}}\ldots\tilde{V}_m)^{-1}, \ldots,\\
&(c_{m,q-1}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-4}{m-1}}\ldots\tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-2)}\tilde{V}_m)\\
&(c_{mq}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}\ldots\tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_m)^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathrm{diag}(\frac{c_{mq}}{c_{m1}}\tilde{U}(\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_m)\tilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{V}_m^{-1},$$
$$\frac{c_{m1}}{c_{m2}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-3}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1}, \ldots,$$

$$\frac{c_{m,q-1}}{c_{mq}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-4}{m-1}-\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-2}^{\binom{q-1}{2}-\binom{q}{2}}\tilde{V}_{m-1})$$

$$= \mathrm{diag}(\frac{c_{mq}}{c_{m1}}(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}\tilde{U}^{-1}) \ldots (\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_{m-1}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{U}^{-1})(\tilde{U}\tilde{V}_m\tilde{U}^{-1})\tilde{V}_m^{-1},$$

$$\frac{c_{m1}}{c_{m2}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-3}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1}, \ldots,$$
$$\frac{c_{m,q-1}}{c_{mq}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}\binom{q+m-4}{m-2}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-2}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_{m-1})$$

$$= \mathrm{diag}(\frac{c_{mq}}{c_{m1}}(\zeta\tilde{V}_1)^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}} \ldots (\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{m-2}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1})^{-(q-1)}$$
$$(\tilde{V}_1^{\binom{q}{m-1}} \ldots \tilde{V}_m)\tilde{V}_m^{-1}, \frac{c_{m1}}{c_{m2}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-3}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1}, \ldots,$$
$$\frac{c_{m,q-1}}{c_{mq}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}\binom{q+m-4}{m-2}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-2}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_{m-1})$$
$$= \mathrm{diag}(\frac{c_{mq}}{c_{m1}}\zeta^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}\prod_{s=1}^{m-1}\tilde{V}_s^{\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{m-r}\binom{q+m-r-2}{m-r}\binom{q}{r-s}},$$
$$\frac{c_{m1}}{c_{m2}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}}\tilde{V}_2^{(-1)^{m-3}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-1}, \ldots,$$
$$\frac{c_{m,q-1}}{c_{mq}}\tilde{V}_1^{(-1)^{m-2}\binom{q+m-4}{m-2}} \ldots \tilde{V}_{m-2}^{-(q-1)}\tilde{V}_{m-1})$$
$$= V'_{m-1}.$$

Using the next lemma and the paragraph following it, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{m-r}\binom{q+m-r-2}{m-r}\binom{q}{r-s} = \delta_{s,m-1} \ (1 \le s \le m-1) \tag{9}$$

where δ denotes the delta function. Therefore the last equality holds if, and only if,

$$\frac{c_{mq}}{c_{m1}}\zeta^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}} = c_{m-1,1}, \ \frac{c_{m1}}{c_{m2}} = c_{m-1,2} \ , \ldots, \ \frac{c_{m,q-1}}{c_{mq}} = c_{m-1,q}. \tag{10}$$

By multiplying the above equalities, one obtains

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q} c_{m-1,j} = \zeta^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}}.$$

One can see that $c_{m-1,1}$ must be chosen as a q-th root of

$$\zeta^{(-1)^{m-1}\binom{q+m-3}{m-1}} \prod_{k=-1}^{m-2} c_{k1}^{(-1)^{m-k}\binom{q+m-k-2}{m-k}},$$

where $c_{-1,1} := \lambda,\ c_{01} := \eta$ and $c_{11} := b$. Then

$$c_{m-1,j} = \prod_{k=-1}^{m-1} c_{k1}^{(-1)^{m-k-1}\binom{q+m-k-3}{m-k-1}}.$$

Then one can show that

$$c_{m,j} := \prod_{k=-1}^{m} c_{k1}^{(-1)^{m-k}\binom{q+m-k-2}{m-k}}$$

is a solution for (8), where c_{k1} was chosen recursively in the previous steps for $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$ and $c_{m1} \in \mathbb{T}$ is chosen arbitrary. In accordance with the previous steps, we let c_{m1} be a q-th root of

$$\zeta^{(-1)^{m}\binom{q+m-2}{m}} \prod_{k=-1}^{m-1} c_{k1}^{(-1)^{m-k+1}\binom{q+m-k-1}{m-k+1}}.$$

So the unitaries $U', V', V_1', \ldots, V_m'$ satisfy (5). It just remains to prove that they generate $M_q(B) = M_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B$. Let E_{ij} denote the $q \times q$ matrix with all zero entries except for a 1 in the i, j entry $(1 \leq i, j \leq q)$. These form a set of matrix units for $M_q(\mathbb{C})$. We first show that all E_{ij}'s are generated by U', V'. Take $E = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} V'^k$. Then $E = \mathrm{diag}(1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) = E_{11}$ and one can check that $E_{ij} = U'^{(i-1)} E V'^{(1-j)}$. Now that we have a copy of $M_q(\mathbb{C})$ generated by U' and V', it is enough to prove that the elements $E_{11} \otimes \tilde{U}$ and $E_{11} \otimes \tilde{V}_i$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, m$) belong to $C^*(U', V', V_1', \ldots, V_m')$ (for these elements generate $E_{11} \otimes B$ and moving this around with the matrix units will generate $M_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B$). But according to the definition of $U', V', V_1', \ldots, V_m'$ one can easily verify that $E_{11} \otimes \tilde{U} = U' E_{q1}$ and $E_{11} \otimes \tilde{V}_i = \bar{c}_{i1} E_{11} V_i'$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, m$), in which the constants $c_{i1} \in \mathbb{T}$ for $i > 1$ were introduced above and $c_{11} := b$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The following combinatorial lemma presents a generalized form of the identity $\binom{m-1}{k} = \binom{m}{k} - \binom{m-1}{k-1}$.

Lemma 5.9. *Using Notation* 2.1, *we have*

$$\binom{m-q}{k} = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{m-j}{k-j} \binom{q}{j}$$

for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First one checks that for $q=1$, the identity $\binom{m-1}{k}=\binom{m}{k}-\binom{m-1}{k-1}$ holds for all $m,k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then using induction on q, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{m-q-1}{k} &= \binom{m-q}{k}-\binom{m-q-1}{k-1}\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\binom{m-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j}-\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\binom{m-1-j}{k-1-j}\binom{q}{j}\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\binom{m-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j}-\sum_{j\geq 1}(-1)^{j-1}\binom{m-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j-1}\\ &= \binom{m}{k}+\sum_{j\geq 1}(-1)^j\binom{m-j}{k-j}\{\binom{q}{j}+\binom{q}{j-1}\}\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\binom{m-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, to prove (9) in the preceding theorem, let $j:=r-s$ and $k:=m-s$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{m-r}\binom{q+m-r-2}{m-r}\binom{q}{r-s}\\ &\qquad= \sum_{j=1-s}^{k}(-1)^{k+j}\binom{(q+k-2)-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j}\\ &\qquad= (-1)^k\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\binom{(q+k-2)-j}{k-j}\binom{q}{j}\\ &\qquad= (-1)^k\binom{k-2}{k}=\delta_{k1}=\delta_{m-s,1}\\ &\qquad= \delta_{s,m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

6. K-THEORY FOR $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$

In this section we study the K-theory of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. First, we describe the method of computation for the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$, where α is an arbitrary homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$. To do this, we will pay attention to the algebraic structure of $K^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Note that it is sufficient to consider the special case of "linear" homeomorphisms since as stated in the introduction, we know that every continuous function from $\mathbb{T}^n$ to $\mathbb{T}$ is homotopic to a "linear" function $f(v_1,\ldots,v_n)=v_1^{a_1}v_2^{a_2}\ldots v_n^{a_n}$ $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\in\mathbb{Z})$, and that the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ depend up to isomorphism only on the homotopy class of α.

It is well known that $K^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded ring and by the Künneth formula [1], it is an exterior algebra (over $\mathbb{Z}$) on n generators, where the elements of even degree are in $K^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and those of odd degree are in $K^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The generators of this exterior algebra correspond to the generators of the dual group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$ [28, p. 185]. Indeed, in this case the Chern character

$$\mathrm{ch} : K^*(\mathbb{T}^n) \longrightarrow \check{H}^*(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Q})$$

is integral and gives the Chern isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{ch}_0 : K^0(\mathbb{T}^n) \longrightarrow \check{H}^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\mathrm{ch}_1 : K^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \longrightarrow \check{H}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\check{H}^*(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is the (Čech) cohomology ring of $\mathbb{T}^n$ under the cup product, and $\check{H}^k(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. On the other hand, $K^*(\mathbb{T}^n) \cong K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$. So, by introducing $e_i := [v_i]_1$ (i.e. the class in $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ of the coordinate function $v_i : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}$ as an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$) for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have the isomorphisms $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \cong \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \cong \Lambda^*\mathbb{Z}^n$, and it is in a way that respects the canonical embedding of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Moreover, such an isomorphism is unique since only the identity automorphism of the ring $\Lambda^*\mathbb{Z}^n$ fixes each element of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Now we use the Pimsner-Voiculescu six term exact sequence [24] as the main tool for computing the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\alpha_*(= K_*(\alpha))$ be the ring automorphism of $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ induced by α and let α_i be the restriction of α_* on $K_i(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)); (i = 0, 1)$. Let $A := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \arrow[r, "\alpha_0-\mathrm{id}"] & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \arrow[r, "\jmath_0"] & K_0(A) \arrow[d, "\delta_0"] \\
K_1(A) \arrow[u, "\delta_1"] & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \arrow[l, "\jmath_1"'] & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \arrow[l, "\alpha_1-\mathrm{id}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $\jmath : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \to A$ is the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ in A, $\jmath_0 := K_0(\jmath)$ and $\jmath_1 := K_1(\jmath)$. Also from now on id denotes the identity function on each underlying set. As a result, we have the following short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ker(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow 0,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ker(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since all the groups involved are abelian and $\ker(\alpha_i - \mathrm{id})$ is torsion-free $(i = 0, 1)$, these short exact sequences split and we have

$$\boxed{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{coker}(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id}),} \tag{11}$$

$$\boxed{K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{coker}(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id}).} \tag{12}$$

So, it suffices to determine the kernel and cokernel of $(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id})$ and $(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id})$ acting as endomorphisms on the finitely generated abelian groups $\Lambda^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)(\cong \mathbb{Z}^{2^{n-1}})$ and $\Lambda^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)(\cong \mathbb{Z}^{2^{n-1}})$, respectively. Note that from the isomorphisms (11) and (12), the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ are finitely generated abelian groups. Now since α_* becomes a ring homomorphism, it suffices to know the action of α_* on $e_1, \dots, e_n$. In fact for a general basis element $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}$ of $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) \cong \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ we have

$$\alpha_*(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}) = \alpha_*(e_{i_1}) \wedge \alpha_*(e_{i_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_*(e_{i_r}).$$

Thus if we consider $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ as the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and take $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha_*|_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$, we have $\alpha_* = \wedge^* \hat{\alpha} = \oplus_{r=1}^n \wedge^r \hat{\alpha}$, $\alpha_0 = \wedge^{\text{even}} \hat{\alpha} = \oplus_{r\geq 0} \wedge^{2r} \hat{\alpha}$ and $\alpha_1 = \wedge^{\text{odd}} \hat{\alpha} = \oplus_{r\geq 0} \wedge^{2r+1} \hat{\alpha}$, where $\wedge^i \hat{\alpha}$ is the i-th exterior power of $\hat{\alpha}$, which acts on $\Lambda^i \mathbb{Z}^n$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$. Now let $\alpha = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $b_{ij} := A_i[f_j]$ or in other words, assume that f_i is homotopic to $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_1^{b_{1i}} v_2^{b_{2i}} \dots v_n^{b_{ni}}$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*(e_i) = \alpha_*[v_i]_1 = [\alpha(v_i)]_1 = [f_i(v_1, \dots, v_n)]_1 &= [v_1^{b_{1i}} v_2^{b_{2i}} \dots v_n^{b_{ni}}]_1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji}[v_j]_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji} e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\hat{\alpha}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ via the corresponding integer matrix $\mathsf{A} := [b_{ij}]_{n\times n} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and α_* acts on $\Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^n$ via $\wedge^* \mathsf{A}$, and we have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \operatorname{coker}(\alpha_0 - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\alpha_1 - \mathrm{id}) \\ &= \operatorname{coker}(\oplus_{r\geq 0} \wedge^{2r} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\oplus_{r\geq 0} \wedge^{2r+1} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}), \end{aligned}$$

so we can write

$$\boxed{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} [\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^{2r} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\wedge^{2r+1} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})],}$$

and similarly

$$\boxed{K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} [\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^{2r+1} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\wedge^{2r} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})].}$$

Therefore, in order to compute the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, we must find the kernel and cokernel of $\wedge^r \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}$ as an endomorphism of $\Lambda^r \mathbb{Z}^n$ $(r = 0, 1, \dots, n)$. Note that the matrix of $\wedge^r \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r} | 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n\}$ with lexicographic order is $\mathsf{A}_{n,r} := \wedge^r \mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}_{\binom{n}{r}}$, which is an integer matrix of order $\binom{n}{r}$ (I_k is the identity matrix of order k, we often omit k whenever it is clear). So by computing the kernel and cokenel of $\mathsf{A}_{n,r}$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$ with appropriate tools (such as the Smith normal form for integer matrices [20, p. 26]), one can determine the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. The authors have written a program in Maple 6.0 to do these computations.

We summarize the discussion above in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let α be a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be the restriction of α_* to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (as above). Then $\alpha_* = \wedge^* \hat{\alpha} = \oplus_{r=1}^n \wedge^r \hat{\alpha}$ on $K^*(\mathbb{T}^n) = \Lambda^* \mathbb{Z}^n$ and*

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} [\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^{2r}\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\wedge^{2r+1}\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})],$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} [\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^{2r+1}\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\wedge^{2r}\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})].$$

Corollary 6.2. *The K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ are finitely generated abelian groups with the same rank. Moreover, this common rank equals*

$$\operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^* \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^r \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}).$$

Proof. Use the proposition and note that for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ one has $\operatorname{rank} \ker \varphi = \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{coker} \varphi$. $\square$

Now for the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$, the "linearized" form of the corresponding affine homeomorphism σ is as follows

$$(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \mapsto (v_1, v_1 v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1} v_n).$$

So $\hat{\sigma}(e_i) = e_{i-1} + e_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ $(e_0 := 0)$. The matrix with respect to the canonical basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ that corresponds to $\hat{\sigma}$ is

$$\mathsf{S}_n := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n\times n} .$$

Notation 6.3. We let $a_n := \operatorname{rank} K_0(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta})$ and $a_{n,r} := \operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^r \mathsf{S}_n - \mathsf{I})$ for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. From the preceding corollary we have

$$a_n = \operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^* \mathsf{S}_n - \mathsf{I}) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{n,r}.$$

Corollary 6.4. *$K_i(C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)) \cong K_i(\mathcal{A}_{n+1,\theta})$ for $i = 0, 1$. In particular*

$$\operatorname{rank} K_0(C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)) = a_{n+1}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{D}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_\eta \mathbb{Z}$, so $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}) \rtimes_{\tilde{\eta}} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \rtimes_{\tilde{\eta}} \mathbb{Z}$ and the integer matrix corresponding to $\tilde{\eta}$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix M_n introduced in Section 1, which is precisely S_{n+1}. The rest of proof follows from the last proposition. $\square$

Some examples will illustrate the methods described.

Example 6.5. We compute the K-groups of $A_\theta^{5,5}$, which have been computed in [30] by another method. In fact, the Chern character and noncommutative geometry were used in [30] to compute the kernel and cokernel of $\sigma_i - \mathrm{id}$ (i=0,1). As $A_\theta^{5,5} = \mathcal{A}_{3,\theta}$, we compute the kernel and cokernel of $\mathsf{S}_{3,r} := \wedge^r \mathsf{S}_3 - \mathsf{I}_{\binom{3}{r}}$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, in which

$$\mathsf{S}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $r = 0$, $\mathsf{S}_{3,0} = \wedge^0 \mathsf{S}_3 - \mathsf{I}_1 = [0]$. So, $\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,0} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,0} = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
- $r = 1$, $\mathsf{S}_{3,1} = \wedge^1 \mathsf{S}_3 - \mathsf{I}_3 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1&1&0\\0&1&1\\0&0&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] - \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0&1&0\\0&0&1\\0&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. So,
$$\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,1} = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 | \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0&1&0\\0&0&1\\0&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \left[\begin{smallmatrix} x\\y\\z \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\} = (\mathbb{Z},0,0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,1} = \mathbb{Z}^3/\mathsf{S}_{3,1}(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \mathbb{Z}^3/\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$
- $r = 2$, $\mathsf{S}_{3,2} = \wedge^2 \mathsf{S}_3 - \mathsf{I}_3 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1&1&1\\0&1&1\\0&0&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] - \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0&1&1\\0&0&1\\0&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.
$$\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,2} = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 | \; y + z = z = 0\} = (\mathbb{Z},0,0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,2} = \mathbb{Z}^3/\mathsf{S}_{3,2}(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \mathbb{Z}^3/\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$
- $r = 3$, $\mathsf{S}_{3,3} = \wedge^3 \mathsf{S}_3 - \mathsf{I}_1 = [0]$. So, $\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,3} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,3} = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(A_\theta^{5,5}) = K_0(\mathcal{A}_{3,\theta}) &\cong (\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,0} \oplus \operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,2}) \oplus (\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,1} \oplus \ker \mathsf{S}_{3,3}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^4, \\ K_1(A_\theta^{5,5}) = K_1(\mathcal{A}_{3,\theta}) &\cong (\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,1} \oplus \operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{3,3}) \oplus (\ker \mathsf{S}_{3,0} \oplus \ker \mathsf{S}_{3,2}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Example 6.6. Using our method, we have obtained the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ by computer for $4 \leq n \leq 11$. We find the kernels and cokernels of $\mathsf{S}_{n,r} := \wedge^r \mathsf{S}_n - \mathsf{I}_{\binom{n}{r}}$ for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ using the Smith normal form theorem [20, p. 26]. The results obtained are stated in Table 1. Because of computational limitations, we do not have any results yet for $n > 11$, except for $\{a_n\}$ for which we have obtained the generating functions (see Subsection 6.1).

In this table, the group $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(m)}$ is the direct product of m copies of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_k = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, and it seems that the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ generally have torsion. The first example is $K_1(\mathcal{A}_{6,\theta})$; this is in fact because $\operatorname{coker} \mathsf{S}_{6,3} = \operatorname{coker}(\wedge^3 \mathsf{S}_6 - \mathsf{I}_{20}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. One of the things that we are interested in studying is the behavior of the sequence $\{a_n\}$. We will show below the importance of this sequence, namely a_n is the common rank of the K-groups

TABLE 1. *The K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 11$*

n	$K_0(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta})$	$K_1(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta})$	a_n
1	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	2
2	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	3
3	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	4
4	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	6
5	$\mathbb{Z}^8$	$\mathbb{Z}^8$	8
6	$\mathbb{Z}^{13}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	13
7	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}$	20
8	$\mathbb{Z}^{32} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^{(2)}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{32} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{18}^{(2)}$	32
9	$\mathbb{Z}^{52} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9^{(2)}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{52} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9^{(2)}$	52
10	$\mathbb{Z}^{90} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{55}^{(4)}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{90} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{99} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{198} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2574}$	90
11	$\mathbb{Z}^{152} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{(12)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{143}^{(4)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{286}^{(2)}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{152} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{(12)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{143}^{(4)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{286}^{(2)}$	152

of a certain set of C^*-algebras including the Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebras $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$ introduced in [12].

Note that by Proposition 6.1, the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ are completely determined by the corresponding homomorphism $\hat{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and its exterior powers. From a computational point of view, we only need the cokernels of the maps involved, since we know that for any endomorphism ϱ on $\mathbb{Z}^m$, $\ker \varrho \cong \operatorname{coker}\varrho/(\operatorname{coker}\varrho)_{\mathrm{tor}}$. When $\det \hat{\alpha} = 1$, we don't even need to compute all the cokernels. In other words, we have the next proposition, for which we recall a definition.

Definition 6.7. Let $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. We say that $\hat{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $\hat{\beta}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ (and write $\hat{\alpha}$ equiv $\hat{\beta}$) if there exist $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ such that $\hat{u} \circ \hat{\alpha} \circ \hat{v} = \hat{\beta}$. Similarly, if A and B are integer $m \times m$ matrices, A is equivalent to B if there exist $\mathsf{U}, \mathsf{V} \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathsf{UAV} = \mathsf{B}$.

Recall that $\hat{\alpha}$ equiv $\hat{\beta}$ if, and only if, $\operatorname{coker}\hat{\alpha} \cong \operatorname{coker}\hat{\beta}$ if, and only if, $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ have the same Smith normal form. Also, A equiv B if, and only if, B is obtainable from A by a finite number of elementary operations. An elementary operation on an integer matrix is one of the following types: interchanging two rows (or two columns), adding an integer multiple of one row (or column) to another, and multiplying a row (or column) by -1.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ (i.e. $\det \hat{\alpha} = 1$). Then $\wedge^r \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}$ and $\wedge^{n-r} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}$ are equivalent as endomorphisms of $\Lambda^r \mathbb{Z}^n = \Lambda^{n-r} \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{r}}$. Equivalently,* $\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^r \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \cong \operatorname{coker}(\wedge^{n-r} \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})$ *for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.*

Proof. We prove the equivalence of the endomorphisms as matrices with respect to some basis. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and put $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subset S$, where $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n$, put $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_r} \in \Lambda^r \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $\mathcal{E}_r := \{e_I \mid I \subset S \ , \ |I| = r\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda^r\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\omega := e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n$, which generates $\Lambda^n\mathbb{Z}^n$. When $r = 0$, $\wedge^0\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id} = 0$ and $\wedge^n\hat{\alpha}(\omega) = \hat{\alpha}(e_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\alpha}(e_n) = (\det\hat{\alpha})(e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_n) = \omega$, so $\wedge^n\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id} = 0$. Now, fix an $r \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. For an arbitrary subset $I \subset S$ with $|I| = r$, take $J = \mathcal{E} \setminus I = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-r}\}$, so $|J| = n - r$. Then $e_I \wedge e_J = (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)\omega$, in which $\mu \in S_n$ is the permutation that converts $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ to $(i_1, \ldots, i_r, j_1, \ldots, j_{n-r})$. It is easily seen that $\mu = \mu_1 \ldots \mu_r$, where μ_k is the permutation that takes i_k from its position in $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ to its new position in $(i_1, \ldots, i_r, j_1, \ldots, j_{n-r})$. One can see that μ_k is the combination of $i_k - (r - k + 1)$ transpositions $(k = 1, \ldots, r)$. Thus

$$\operatorname{sgn}\mu = \prod_{k=1}^{r}(-1)^{i_k-(r-k+1)} = (-1)^{\ell(I)-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}},$$

where $\ell(I) := \sum_{k=1}^{r} i_k$. Now take $m = \binom{n}{r} = \binom{n}{n-r}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_r = \{e_{I_1}, \ldots, e_{I_m}\}$ be a basis for $\Lambda^r\mathbb{Z}^n$. Now write $\mathcal{E}_{n-r} = \{e_{J_1}, \ldots, e_{J_m}\}$ as the basis for $\Lambda^{n-r}\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $J_k = \mathcal{E} \setminus I_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. From the above argument one can write

$$e_{I_i} \wedge e_{J_j} = (-1)^{\ell(I_i)-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}\delta_{ij}\omega,$$

since if $i \neq j$ then $I_i \cap J_j \neq \emptyset$ thus $e_{I_i} \wedge e_{J_j} = 0$. Now let $\mathsf{A} = [a_{ij}]_{m\times m}$ and $\mathsf{B} = [b_{ij}]_{m\times m}$ be the corresponding integer matrices of $\wedge^r\hat{\alpha}$ and $\wedge^{n-r}\hat{\alpha}$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}_r$ and $\mathcal{E}_{n-r}$, respectively. So $\wedge^r\hat{\alpha}(e_{I_i}) = \sum_{p=1}^{m} a_{pi}e_{I_P}$ and $\wedge^{n-r}\hat{\alpha}(e_{J_j}) = \sum_{q=1}^{m} b_{qj}e_{J_q}$. What we want to show is that $\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge^n\ \hat{\alpha}(e_{I_i} \wedge e_{J_j}) = (-1)^{\ell(I_i)-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}\delta_{ij}\omega = \\ \wedge^r\ \hat{\alpha}(e_{I_i}) \bigwedge \wedge^{n-r}\hat{\alpha}(e_{J_j}) = \sum_{p,q=1}^{m} a_{pi}b_{qj}(-1)^{\ell(I_p)-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}\delta_{pq}\omega;\end{aligned}$$

thus one obtains

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{\ell(I_k)-\ell(I_i)}a_{ki}b_{kj} = \delta_{ij}. \tag{13}$$

Therefore if we take $c_{ij} = (-1)^{\ell(I_j)-\ell(I_i)}a_{ji}$ and $\mathsf{C} := [c_{ij}]_{m\times m}$, then $c_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = (-1)^{\ell(I_j)-\ell(I_i)}(a_{ji} - \delta_{ji})$; therefore $\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{I}$ is obtained from $\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}$ by changing rows (and columns) and occasionally multiplying some rows (and columns) by -1. So $\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{I}$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}$. On the other hand, (13) means that $\mathsf{CB} = \mathsf{I}$. Thus $\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{I} = \mathsf{C}(\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I})(-\mathsf{I})$, hence $\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I}$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{C} - \mathsf{I}$. So $\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I}$. □

Corollary 6.9. *If* $\det\hat{\alpha} = 1$, *then* $\operatorname{rank}\ker(\wedge^r\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) = \operatorname{rank}\ker(\wedge^{n-r}\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})$. *In particular,* $a_{n,r} = a_{n,n-r}$ *for* $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

Corollary 6.10. *Let* $A := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^{2m-1}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ *for which the corresponding homomorphism* $\hat{\alpha}$ *satisfies* $\det\hat{\alpha} = 1$. *Then* $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$ *and the (common) rank of the* K*-groups of* A *is an even number. In particular, for every*

Furstenberg transformation group C^**-algebra* $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ *of an odd-dimensional torus (including* $\mathcal{A}_{2m-1,\theta}$*), one has* $K_0(A_{F_{f,\theta}}) \cong K_1(A_{F_{f,\theta}})$.

Proof. Combining the preceding proposition and Proposition 6.1, one obtains

$$K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} [\operatorname{coker}(\wedge^k \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id}) \oplus \ker(\wedge^k \hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})].$$

As a result, the rank of the K-groups of A is an even number since the ranks of the cokernel and kernel of an endomorphism coincide. Note that for $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ the corresponding integer matrix of $\hat{\alpha}$ is an upper triangular matrix with 1's on diagonal. Thus $\det \hat{\alpha} = 1$. □

6.1. The rank a_n of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$.

In this part we study some general properties of a_n. We specify some C^*-algebras whose ranks of K-groups are related to the sequence $\{a_n\}$. As an application, we characterize the rank of the K-groups of Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebras $A_{F_{f,\theta}}$ and simple infinite dimensional quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$, which were studied in Sections 4 and 5. To this end, we remind the reader of some linear algebraic properties of nilpotent and unipotent matrices.

Definition 6.11. Let V be a (complex) vector space. An $\hat{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$ is called nilpotent (respectively, unipotent) if $\hat{\epsilon}^k = 0$ (respectively, $(\hat{\epsilon} - \mathrm{id})^k = 0$) for some positive integer k. The minimum value of k with this property is called the degree of $\hat{\epsilon}$, denoted $\deg(\hat{\epsilon})$.

As an example, every upper (respectively, lower) triangular matrix with zeros on the diagonal is nilpotent. Also, S_n as defined above is a unipotent matrix of degree n. Note that all eigenvalues of a nilpotent (respectively, unipotent) matrix are zero (respectively, one). In particular, every unipotent matrix is invertible. Thus every unipotent endomorphism is an automorphism.

Corollary 6.12. *Let* V *be a finite dimensional complex vector space and* $\hat{\epsilon}$ *be a nilpotent (respectively, unipotent) endomorphism of* V*. Then* $\deg(\hat{\epsilon})$ *is equal to the maximum order of its Jordan blocks.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for the nilpotent case. Since all the eigenvalues of $\hat{\epsilon}$ are zero, each Jordan block is a zero matrix of order one or in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a nilpotent matrix and its degree is the same as its order, which is greater than 1. Now the rest of proof is clear. □

Definition 6.13. Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space and $\hat{\epsilon} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}V$ be nilpotent (respectively, unipotent). We say that $\hat{\epsilon}$ is of maximal degree if $\deg(\hat{\epsilon}) = \dim V$.

Corollary 6.14. *Let V be an n-dimensional complex vector space and $\hat{\epsilon} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}V$ be nilpotent (respectively, unipotent). Then $\deg(\hat{\epsilon}) \leq n$. If $\deg(\hat{\epsilon}) = n$, the Jordan normal form of $\hat{\epsilon}$ is the following matrix of order n*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, all nilpotent (respectively, unipotent) matrices of maximal degree acting on V are similar.

Proof. Use the preceding corollary and the Jordan normal form theorem. □

Example 6.15. Let $\mathsf{B} = [b_{ij}]_{n\times n}$ be any upper triangular matrix whose diagonal elements are all zero (respectively, one) and whose entries $b_{i,i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ are all nonzero. Then B is nilpotent (respectively, unipotent) of maximal degree. In fact, let n be the order of B and let $b := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_{i,i+1}$, which is a nonzero number. Then one can easily see that $\mathsf{B}^n = 0$ (respectively, $(\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I})^n = 0$) and B^{n-1} (respectively, $(\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{I})^{n-1}$) is a matrix with b appearing in the northeast corner and zeros elsewhere. So $\deg(\mathsf{B}) = n$ i.e., B is of maximal degree.

As a useful conclusion, we compare the ranks of the K-groups of C^*-algebras of the form $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ in the following theorem, which shows the importance of the rank a_n of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$.

Theorem 6.16. *Let $A := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, in which α is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$ whose corresponding integer matrix $\mathsf{A} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is unipotent of maximal degree (i.e. $\deg(\mathsf{A}) = n$). Then*

$$\operatorname{rank} K_0(A) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(A) = a_n = \operatorname{rank} K_0(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}).$$

In particular, the rank of the K-groups of any Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebra $A_{F_{f,\theta}} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_{F_{f,\theta}} \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to the rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$, i.e. to a_n.

Proof. Let $\hat{\alpha}$ denote the restriction of α_* to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and A be the corresponding matrix of $\hat{\alpha}$ acting on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Also let S_n be the corresponding matrix for $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. Now A is assumed to be unipotent of maximal degree, and S_n is unipotent of maximal degree too. So the last corollary yields that A is similar to S_n. In fact the Jordan normal form of $\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I}$ is precisely $\mathsf{S}_n - \mathsf{I}$. On the other hand, from Corollary 6.2, we know that the rank of the K-groups of A is equal to $\operatorname{rank}\ker(\wedge^* \mathsf{A} - \mathsf{I})$. But the similarity of A and S_n yields the similarity of $\wedge^*\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{I}$ and $\wedge^*\mathsf{S}_n-\mathsf{I}$ as matrices acting on $\Lambda^*\mathbb{C}^n$. So $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ker(\wedge^*\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{I})=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ker(\wedge^*\mathsf{S}_n-\mathsf{I})$, which yields the result.

For the second part, note that the corresponding integer matrix of a Furstenberg transformation $F_{f,\theta}$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ is of the form

$$(\heartsuit)\qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & b_{23} & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-2,n} \\ \vdots & & 0 & 1 & b_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n\times n},$$

which, following the preceding example, is unipotent of maximal degree since $b_{i,i+1}\neq 0$ for $i=1,\dots,n-1$. Now the proof of the first part yields the result. □

Remark 6.17. In the preceding theorem, the basis for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for the matrices involved is $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$, where $e_i:=[v_i]_1$ as introduced at the beginning of Section 6. But it is interesting to observe that if $\hat{\alpha}$ is an arbitrary unipotent automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then there is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to which the integer matrix A of $\hat{\alpha}$ is of the form ($\heartsuit$) above (but not necessarily with $b_{i,i+1}\neq 0$ for $i=1,\dots,n-1$, unless $\hat{\alpha}$ is of maximal degree) [8, Theorems 16, 18]. The unipotence of $\hat{\alpha}$ also has important effects on the dynamics of the generated flow. For example, if α is an affine transformation on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\hat{\alpha}$ is unipotent, then the dynamical system $(\mathbb{T}^n,\alpha)$ has quasi-discrete spectrum [8, Theorem 19]. More generally, let $\alpha=(\boldsymbol{t},\mathsf{A})$ be an affine transformation on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and take $Z_p(\mathsf{A})=\ker(\mathsf{A}^p-\mathrm{id})\subset\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $p\in\mathbb{N}$ and consider the following conditions

1. $Z_1(\mathsf{A})=Z_p(\mathsf{A})$, $\forall p\in\mathbb{N}$,
2. $\boldsymbol{t}$ is rationally independent over $Z_1(\mathsf{A})$, i.e., if $\boldsymbol{k}=(k_1,\dots,k_n)\in Z_1(\mathsf{A})$ is such that $\langle\boldsymbol{t},\boldsymbol{k}\rangle:=\sum_{j=1}^n t_jk_j$ is a rational number, then $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{0}$.
3. $Z_1(\mathsf{A})\neq\{0\}$,
4. A is unipotent.

Then $(\mathbb{T}^n,\alpha)$ is ergodic with respect to Haar measure if and only if α satisfies (1) and (2) [8]. Moreover, if α satisfies (1) through (4), $(\mathbb{T}^n,\alpha)$ is minimal, uniquely ergodic with respect to Haar measure, and has quasi-discrete spectrum. Conversely, any minimal transformation of $\mathbb{T}^n$ with topologically quasi-discrete spectrum is conjugate to an affine transformation which must satisfy (1) through (4) [9]. The C^*-algebras corresponding to such actions are therefore simple and have a unique tracial state.

Corollary 6.18. *Let A be a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$. Then* $\operatorname{rank} K_0(A) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(A) = a_{n-i}$ *for some* $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$*, determined by the isomorphism $A \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\phi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem* 4.2.

Proof. It was proved in Section 5 that A is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over a Furstenberg transformation group C^*-algebra $B_i^{(n)}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n-i}$ for some suitable i. So $K_j(A) \cong K_j(B_i^{(n)})$ for $j = 0, 1$. The rest of proof is clear from the preceding theorem. $\square$

We will see in Proposition 6.33 below that $\{a_n\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence. Therefore the preceding corollary is a first step towards the classification of the simple infinite dimensional quotients of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ by means of K-theory. But as is seen, the rank of the K-groups can not distinguish the algebras in the same "level" (i.e. those algebras that are included in the same case (i) in Section 5, but with different values of the parameters). The other powerful K-theoretical object that helps us do this is the trace invariant, i.e. the range of the unique tracial state acting on the K_0-group.

Proposition 6.19. *Suppose $A \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\phi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ is a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ as in Theorem* 4.2. *Then A has a unique tracial state $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_* K_0(A) = \frac{1}{C_i}(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\vartheta_i)$, where $C_i = |\mathbf{Y}_i|$ and $e^{2\pi i \vartheta_i} = \zeta_i = (-1)^{C_i+1}\eta_i^{C_i}$ as in Lemma* 5.6 *and Theorem* 5.8.

Proof. Following Theorem 5.8, A is isomorphic to $M_{C_i}(B_i^{(n)}) = M_{C_i}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B_i^{(n)}$, where $B_i^{(n)}$ is the simple C^*-algebra generated by $\widetilde{(\mathbf{CR})}_{n,i}$ for $\zeta_i = (-1)^{C_i+1}\eta_i^{C_i}$. By Corollary 1.4, $B_i^{(n)}$ has a unique tracial state τ and $\tau_* K_0(B_i^{(n)}) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\vartheta_i$, where $e^{2\pi i \vartheta_i} = \zeta_i$ [12, Theorem 2.23]. Thus A has the unique tracial state $\tilde{\tau} = (\frac{1}{C_i}\mathrm{Tr}) \otimes \tau$, in which Tr is the usual trace on $M_{C_i}(\mathbb{C})$, and so $\tilde{\tau}_* K_0(A) = \frac{1}{C_i}(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\vartheta_i)$ [12, Lemma 3.5]. $\square$

Corollary 6.20. *$\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta} \cong \mathcal{A}_{n',\theta'}$ if, and only if, $n = n'$ and there exists an integer k such that $\theta = k \pm \theta'$. More generally, let $A_i^{(n)} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbb{T}^{n-i}) \rtimes_{\phi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ be a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ with the structure constants $\lambda, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_i$ as in case (i) of Section* 5 *and let $A_{i'}^{(n')} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y'}_{i'} \times \mathbb{T}^{n'-i'}) \rtimes_{\phi'_i} \mathbb{Z}$ be a simple infinite dimensional quotient of $C^*(\mathfrak{D}_{n'})$ with the structure constants $\lambda', \mu'_1, \ldots, \mu'_{i'}$. Suppose that $C_i = |\mathbf{Y}_i|$ and $C'_{i'} = |\mathbf{Y'}_{i'}|$. Then $A_i^{(n)} \cong A_{i'}^{(n')}$ if, and only if, $n - i = n' - i'$, $C_i = C'_{i'}$ and*

$$\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}} \mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}} \mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}} \ldots \mu_i^{C_i} = \lambda'^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'+1}} {\mu'_1}^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'}} {\mu'_2}^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'-1}} \ldots {\mu'_{i'}}^{C'_{i'}}$$

or

$$\lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}} \mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}} \mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}} \ldots \mu_i^{C_i} = (\lambda'^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'+1}} {\mu'_1}^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'}} {\mu'_2}^{\binom{C'_{i'}}{i'-1}} \ldots {\mu'_{i'}}^{C'_{i'}})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Use the proposition and the fact that $\{a_n\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence (see Proposition 6.33 below). Note that

$$\zeta_i = (-1)^{C_i+1}\eta_i^{C_i} = \lambda^{\binom{C_i}{i+1}}\mu_1^{\binom{C_i}{i}}\mu_2^{\binom{C_i}{i-1}}\dots\mu_i^{C_i}.$$

$\square$

Remark 6.21. Note that $C_i = |\mathbf{Y}_i|$ is completely determined by the structural constants $\lambda, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{i-1}$ (which are roots of unity). More precisely

$$C_i = \min\{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \lambda^r = \lambda^{\binom{r}{2}}\mu_1^r = \dots = \lambda^{\binom{r}{i}}\mu_1^{\binom{r}{i-1}}\mu_2^{\binom{r}{i-2}}\dots\mu_{i-1}^r = 1\}.$$

As an example, see [18, Lemma 5.4].

6.2. **Some combinatorial properties of $a_{n,r}$.** As mentioned before, our main goal is to describe a_n as the rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. Since $a_n = \sum_{r=0}^n a_{n,r}$, we study $a_{n,r}$ first. So this part is devoted to some combinatorial properties of $a_{n,r}$ as the rank of $\ker(\wedge^r\hat{\alpha} - \mathrm{id})$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$. In other words we show that $a_{n,r}$ equals the number of partitions of $[r(n+1)/2]$ to r distinct positive integers not greater than n. To do this, we will use properties of the irreducible representations of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. First, we need some more elementary properties of nilpotent linear mappings.

Lemma 6.22. *Let V be a complex vector space and $\hat{\epsilon} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}V$ be nilpotent of degree k. Then $\exp(\hat{\epsilon})$ is unipotent of degree k. Moreover, $\exp(\hat{\epsilon}) - \mathrm{id}$ is similar to $\hat{\epsilon}$.*

Proof. For the first part, we know that $\exp(\hat{\epsilon}) - \mathrm{id} = \hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\epsilon}^2/2! + \dots + \hat{\epsilon}^{k-1}/(k-1)! = \hat{\epsilon}\omega$, where $\omega := \mathrm{id} + \hat{\epsilon}/2! + \dots + \hat{\epsilon}^{k-2}/(k-1)!$ commutes with $\hat{\epsilon}$ and is invertible since it is unipotent. So, $(\exp(\hat{\epsilon}) - \mathrm{id})^r = (\hat{\epsilon}\omega)^r = \hat{\epsilon}^r\omega^r$ for each positive integer r. Thus $\exp(\hat{\epsilon}) - \mathrm{id}$ is unipotent with the same degree of $\hat{\epsilon}$. For the second part, using the Jordan normal form of $\hat{\epsilon}$, it is sufficient to prove the statement for the special case when $\hat{\epsilon}$ is a Jordan block with zeros on the diagonal. Since in this case $\hat{\epsilon}$ is of maximal degree, by the first part, $\exp(\hat{\epsilon}) - \mathrm{id}$ is also of maximal degree. Therefore they are similar by Corollary 6.3. $\square$

Let V be an arbitrary (complex) vector space and $\hat{\phi} : V \to V$ be a linear mapping. Then $\hat{\phi}$ can be extended in a unique way to a homomorphism $\wedge^*\hat{\phi} : \Lambda^*V \to \Lambda^*V$ such that $\wedge^*\hat{\phi}(1) = 1$, yielding

$$\wedge^*\hat{\phi}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_p) = \hat{\phi}(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\phi}(x_p) \ (x_i \in V).$$

Also, $\hat{\phi}$ can be extended in a unique way to a derivation $\mathcal{D}^*\hat{\phi} : \Lambda^* V \to \Lambda^* V$, yielding

$$\mathcal{D}^*\hat{\phi}(x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}(x_i) \wedge \ldots \wedge x_p \ (\ p \geq 2, x_i \in V).$$

Let's define $\wedge^r \hat{\phi} := \wedge^* \hat{\phi}|_{\Lambda^r V}$ and $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi} := \mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi}|_{\Lambda^r V}$ as induced linear mappings on the r-th exterior power of V $(r \geq 0)$. Then we have

$$\wedge^* \hat{\phi} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \wedge^r \hat{\phi} \ , \ \mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi} \ .$$

Lemma 6.23. *With the above notation, if $\hat{\phi} : V \to V$ is nilpotent, $\wedge^r \hat{\phi}$ and $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}$ are also nilpotent for $r \geq 1$. If V is finite dimensional, $\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi}$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. Assume that $\hat{\phi}^t = 0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $(\wedge^r \hat{\phi})^t (x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r) = \hat{\phi}^t(x_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}^t(x_r) = 0$ so $(\wedge^r \hat{\phi})^t = 0$ and $\wedge^r \hat{\phi}$ is nilpotent. For $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}$ we know that $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}(x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}(x_i) \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r$ and one can deduce that

$$\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}^p (x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \ldots + i_r = p \\ (i_j \geq 0)}} \frac{p!}{(i_1)! \ldots (i_r)!} \ \hat{\phi}^{i_1} x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}^{i_r} x_r.$$

Now since $i_1 + \ldots + i_r = p$, there exists an i_j with $i_j \geq p/r$. So if $p \geq rt$ then $\hat{\phi}^{i_j} = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}^p = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}$ is nilpotent.

For the next part, let $m := \dim V$. Since $\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0}^m \mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\phi}_0 = 0$, from the first part we have $(\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi})^{mt} = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi}$ is nilpotent too. $\square$

Lemma 6.24. *Let $\hat{\phi} : V \to V$ be a nilpotent linear mapping. Then*

$$\exp(\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi}) = \wedge^* \exp(\hat{\phi})$$

on $\Lambda^* V$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(\mathcal{D}^* \hat{\phi})(x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} \mathcal{D}^r \hat{\phi}^p (x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r) \\
&= \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} \Big(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \ldots + i_r = p \\ (i_j \geq 0)}} \frac{p!}{(i_1)! \ldots (i_r)!} \ \hat{\phi}^{i_1} x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}^{i_r} x_r \Big) \\
&= \sum_{i_j \geq 0} \frac{1}{(i_1)! \ldots (i_r)!} \ \hat{\phi}^{i_1} x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\phi}^{i_r} x_r \\
&= \Big(\sum_{i_1 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(i_1)!} \hat{\phi}^{i_1} x_1 \Big) \wedge \ldots \wedge \Big(\sum_{i_r \geq 0} \frac{1}{(i_r)!} \hat{\phi}^{i_r} x_r \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\exp(\hat{\phi})x_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\exp(\hat{\phi})x_r) \\ &= \wedge^* \exp(\hat{\phi})(x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r), \end{aligned}$$

which yields the result. Note that all sums in the above equalities are finite according to the previous lemma. □

Remark 6.25. The nilpotence of $\hat{\phi}$ is not necessary in the preceding lemma. In fact, one may use the definition of $\exp : \mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda^* V) \to \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda^* V)$. More precisely, define $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda^* V)$ by $s(t) = \wedge^* \exp(t\hat{\phi})$. Then one may check that s is the 1-parameter subgroup generated by $\mathcal{D}^*\hat{\phi}$ (i.e. $\dot{s}(0) = \mathcal{D}^*\hat{\phi}$) and $s(1) = \wedge^* \exp(\hat{\phi})$.

Corollary 6.26. *Let $\hat{\phi} : V \to V$ be a nilpotent linear mapping. Then for $r \geq 0$, $\exp(\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\phi}) = \wedge^r \exp(\hat{\phi})$ on $\Lambda^r V$. In particular, if $\hat{\epsilon} := \hat{\phi} + \mathrm{id}$, then $\wedge^r \hat{\epsilon} - \mathrm{id}$ is similar to $\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\phi}$.*

Proof. The first part follows immediately from the lemma. For the second part, we know from Lemma 6.22 that $\exp(\hat{\phi}) - \mathrm{id}$ is similar to $\hat{\phi}$, hence $\exp(\hat{\phi})$ is similar to $\hat{\phi} + \mathrm{id} = \hat{\epsilon}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^r \hat{\epsilon} - \mathrm{id} &\sim \wedge^r \exp(\hat{\phi}) - \mathrm{id} \\ &= \exp(\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\phi}) - \mathrm{id} \\ &\sim \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 6.27. *Let σ be the Anzai transformation on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and σ_* be the corresponding induced homomorphism on $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)) = \Lambda^*\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be the restriction of σ_* to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and consider the linear mapping $\hat{\sigma} \otimes 1$ on $V := \mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Take $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\sigma} \otimes 1 - \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi} = \mathcal{D}^*\hat{\varphi}|_{\Lambda^r V}$ as above. Then*

$$a_{n,r} = \operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^r \hat{\sigma} - \mathrm{id}) = \dim \ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}.$$

Proof. Using the preceding corollary one has $\wedge^r(\hat{\sigma} \otimes 1) - \mathrm{id} \sim \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{rank} \ker(\wedge^r \hat{\sigma} - \mathrm{id}) = \dim \ker(\wedge^r(\hat{\sigma} \otimes 1) - \mathrm{id}) = \dim \ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}.$$

□

Now, to compute $a_{n,r}$ as explicitly as possible, we find a connection to representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. First, we recall some definitions and properties.

Let $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ denote the special linear algebra over $\mathbb{C}^2$

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) := \{a \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid tr(a) = 0\}.$$

We know that $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a 3-dimensional semisimple complex Lie algebra. One can check that

$$\mathfrak{B} := \{h := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, e := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, f := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\}$$

is a basis for this Lie algebra. Let V be an n-dimensional complex vector space with a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. The following equalities for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ define a representation $\pi_n : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$

(a) $\pi_n(h)e_i = (2i - n - 1)e_i$
(b) $\pi_n(e)e_i = i(n - i)e_{i+1}$; $(e_{n+1} := 0)$
(c) $\pi_n(f)e_i = e_{i-1}$; $(e_0 := 0)$.

So, the relationship between our topics becomes clear. In fact, we know that $\hat{\sigma}(e_i) = e_i + e_{i-1}$. Thus $\hat{\varphi}(e_i) = e_{i-1}$, and hence $\hat{\varphi} = \pi_n(f)$.

Now recall the following theorem [11, p. 33].

Theorem 6.28. *Let π_n be the representation described above. Then*

(i) *π_n is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.*
(ii) *Any n-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is equivalent to π_n.*
(iii) *Suppose V is any finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$-module and define*

$$V_\alpha = \{v \in V \mid h.v = \alpha\ v\}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then V decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible submodules and in any such decomposition, the number of summands is precisely $\dim V_0 + \dim V_1$.

For convenience, put $\pi = \pi_n$ and extend π to $\pi^r : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda^r V)$ with $\pi^1 = \pi$. More precisely, for every $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, define

$$\pi^r(X)(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r) = (\pi(X)v_1) \wedge v_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r + \ldots + v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{r-1} \wedge (\pi(X)v_r).$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}^r \hat{\varphi} = \pi^r(f)$.

Now we are ready to compute $a_{n,r} = \dim\ker \mathcal{D}^r \hat{\varphi}$, which is essential for studying the rank a_n of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$. We need some notation first.

Notation 6.29. Let n, k, r be positive integers. $P(n, r, k)$ denotes the number of partitions of k to r distinct positive integers not greater than n. In other words

$$P(n, r, k) = \operatorname{card}\{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \mid i_1 + \ldots + i_r = k, 1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_r \le n\}.$$

We conventionally take $P(n, 0, 0) = 1$ and $P(n, r, 0) = P(n, 0, k) = 0$ for $r, k \ge 1$.

Proposition 6.30. *With the above notation,* $\dim\ker \mathcal{D}^r \hat{\varphi} = P(n, r, [r(n + 1)/2])$, *in which $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than x.*

Proof. Following Weyl's theorem [11, p. 28], π^r is completely irreducible, which means that $\Lambda^r V = \oplus_{p=1}^N W_p$, where the W_p's are π^r-invariant irreducible subspaces of $\Lambda^r V$ and N is the number of such subspaces, which following the preceding theorem is equal to $\dim E_0 + \dim E_1$, where $E_j = \{v \in \Lambda^r V \mid \pi^r(h)v = jv\}$. On the other hand, $\ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi} = \oplus_{p=1}^N \ker(\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}|_{W_p})$, hence $\dim\ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi} = \sum_{p=1}^N \dim\ker(\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}|_{W_p}) = N$, since $\dim\ker(\mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi}|_{W_p}) = 1$, so $\dim\ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi} = \dim E_0 + \dim E_1$. To compute the last term, note that from the preceding theorem we have

$$\pi^r(h)(e_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_r}) = (2(i_1 + \ldots + i_r) - r(n+1))e_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_r}$$

so for even $r(n+1)$, $E_1 = \{0\}$ and $\dim E_0 = P(n, r, r(n+1)/2)$ and for odd $r(n+1)$, $E_0 = \{0\}$ and $\dim E_1 = P(n, r, r(n+1)/2 - 1)$. To summarize, $\dim\ker \mathcal{D}^r\hat{\varphi} = N = \dim E_0 + \dim E_1 = P(n, r, [r(n+1)/2])$. □

Therefore, as the main result of this part, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.31. $a_{n,r} = P(n, r, [r(n+1)/2])$ *for* $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Use Propositions 6.4 and 6.5. □

As a result, we can prove that $\{a_n\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence. We need a lemma first.

Lemma 6.32. $P(n+1, r, k+s) \geq P(n, r, k)$ *for* $s = 0, 1, \ldots, r$.

Proof. For $s = 0$, the proof is clear. Now let $1 \leq s \leq r$ and suppose that $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ is a partition of k such that $1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_r \leq n$. Now define $i_q := j_q$ for $1 \leq q \leq r - s$ and $i_q := j_q + 1$ for $r - s + 1 \leq q \leq r$. Then $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ is a partition of $k + s$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n + 1$. Thus $P(n+1, r, k+s) \geq P(n, r, k)$. □

Proposition 6.33. $\{a_n\}$ *is a strictly increasing sequence.*

Proof. First note that $a_{n,0} = a_{n,n} = P(n, 0, 0) = P(n, n, n(n+1)/2) = 1$ and from the preceding theorem we have $a_n = \sum_{r=0}^n P(n, r, [r(n+1)/2])$. Now we prove that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{2m+1} > a_{2m} > a_{2m-1}$. Applying the lemma to the terms of the following equalities yields the result.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2m+1} = 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m} P(2m+1, 2r, 2rm + 2r) + \\ \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m+1, 2r+1, 2rm + 2r + m + 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2m} &= \sum_{r=0}^{m} P(2m, 2r, 2rm + r) + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m, 2r+1, 2rm + m + r) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m, 2r, 2rm + r) + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m, 2r+1, 2rm + m + r), \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{2m-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m-1, 2r, 2rm) + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m-1, 2r+1, 2rm+m).$$

□

6.3. **Generating functions for a_n.** In this part, we express the rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ as explicitly as possible. In fact, we present them as the constant terms in the polynomial expansions of certain functions. First of all, we need the following basic lemma.

Lemma 6.34. *Let $P(n, r, k)$ denote the number of partitions of k to r distinct positive integers not greater than n. Then $P(n, r, k)$ is the coefficient of $u^r t^k$ in the polynomial expansion of $F_n(u, t) := \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + ut^i)$. In other words*

$$\sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(n, r, k) u^r t^k = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + ut^i).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + ut^i) &= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_r)\\ 1\leq i_1<\ldots<i_r\leq n}} (ut^{i_1}) \ldots (ut^{i_r}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{k\geq 1} P(n, r, k) u^r t^k \\ &= \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(n, r, k) u^r t^k\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we have the following expressions for the rank a_n of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$.

Theorem 6.35. *Let $a_n = \operatorname{rank} K_0(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}) = \operatorname{rank} K_1(\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta})$. Then*

- *for odd n, a_n is the constant term in the polynomial expansion of*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + t^{i-\frac{n+1}{2}})$$

- *for even n, a_n is the constant term in the polynomial expansion of*

$$(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + t^{i-\frac{n+1}{2}}).$$

Proof. We know that $a_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{n,r}$ and $a_{n,r} = P(n, r, [r(n+1)/2])$. Now let $n = 2m-1$ be an odd number. So, $a_n = \sum_{r=0}^{2m-1} P(2m-1, r, rm)$. Take

$y = ut^m$. From the preceding lemma, we have

$$F_n(u,t) = F_{2m-1}(yt^{-m},t) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m-1}(1+yt^{i-m}) = \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m-1,r,k)y^r t^{k-rm}$$

so for $y=1$ we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+t^{i-\frac{n+1}{2}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m-1}(1+t^{i-m}) = \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m-1,r,k)t^{k-rm},$$

which yields the result.

For even n, say $n=2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n = a_{2m} &= \sum_{r=0}^{2m} P(2m,r,[r(m+\frac{1}{2})]) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m} P(2m,2r,r(2m+1)) + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} P(2m,2r+1,2rm+m+r) \\ &=: A_m + B_m. \end{aligned}$$

First, let's determine A_m. Note that from the lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+ut^i) + \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-ut^i)\} &= \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,r,k)\{\frac{1+(-1)^r}{2}\}u^r t^k \\ &= \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r,k)u^{2r}t^k. \end{aligned}$$

If we define $y := u^2t^{2m+1}$, we have the following identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+y^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})}) + \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-y^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\} = \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r,k)y^r t^{k-r(2m+1)},$$

which for $y=1$ yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})}) + \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\} = \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r,k)t^{k-r(2m+1)},$$

hence A_m is the constant term in polynomial expansion of

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})}) + \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\}.$$

Similarly, for B_m we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+ut^i)-\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-ut^i)\} = \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,r,k)\{\frac{1-(-1)^r}{2}\}u^r t^k$$
$$= \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r+1,k)u^{2r+1}t^k.$$

If we define $y^2 := u^2 t^{2m+1}$, we have the following identities

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+y^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})-\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-y^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\}$$
$$= \sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r+1,k)y^{2r+1}t^{k-(2rm+r+m)-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r+1,k)y^{2r+1}t^{k-(2rm+r+m)},$$

which for $y=1$ yields

$$\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})-\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\} =$$
$$\sum_{r,k\geq 0} P(2m,2r+1,k)t^{k-(2rm+r+m)},$$

hence B_m is the constant term in the polynomial expansion of

$$\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})-\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\}.$$

Therefore $a_n = a_{2m} = A_m + B_m$ is the constant term in the polynomial expansion of

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})+\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})$$
$$+\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})-\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})})\},$$

or equivalently, the constant term in the polynomial expansion of

$$\frac{1}{2}\{(1+z)\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+z^{2i-(2m+1)})+(1-z)\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-z^{2i-(2m+1)})\},$$

or equivalently, the constant term in the polynomial expansion of

$$(1+z)\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+z^{2i-(2m+1)}),$$

or equivalently, the constant term in the polynomial expansion of

$$(1+t^{\frac{1}{2}})\prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1+t^{i-(m+\frac{1}{2})}),$$

which yields the result. □

One can use this theorem to determine the asymptotic behavior of a_n.

Corollary 6.36. *$a_n \sim (\frac{24}{\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}2^n n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ when $n \to \infty$.*

7. Concluding remarks

(1) The torsion parts of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ seem much more difficult to describe explicitly in terms of n. Nevertheless, it is an interesting problem to find such descriptions. It is of interest to compute the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ (or more general algebras $A_{F_f,\theta}$), since in the class of C^*-algebras generated by uniquely ergodic minimal diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold, K-theory is a complete invariant. More precisely, suppose that M is a connected compact smooth manifold with $\dim(M) > 0$ and $h : M \to M$ is a uniquely ergodic minimal diffeomorphism, and put $A := \mathcal{C}(M) \rtimes_h \mathbb{Z}$. Let τ be the trace induced by the unique invariant probability measure, and assume that $\tau_* K_0(A)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. Then the 4-tuple

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, [1_A], K_1(A))$$

is a complete algebraic invariant (called the *Elliott invariant* of A) [15]. In this case, A has stable rank one, real rank zero and tracial topological rank zero in the sense of H. Lin [14]. The order on $K_0(A)$ is also determined by the unique trace τ.

(2) The method used in section 6 above for computing the K-groups of the transformation group C^*-algebras of the tori may be extended to more general settings. Let G be a compact connected Lie group with $\pi_1(G)$ torsion-free. Then $K^*(G)$ is torsion-free and can be given the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hopf algebra over the integers [10]. Moreover, regarded as a Hopf algebra, $K^*(G)$ is the exterior algebra on the module of the primitive elements, which are of degree 1. The module of the primitive elements of $K^*(G)$ may also be described as follows. Let $U(n)$ denote the group of unitary matrices of order n and let $U := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U(n)$ be the stable unitary group. Any unitary representation $\rho : G \to U(n)$, by composition with the inclusion $U(n) \subset U$, defines a homotopy class $\beta(\rho)$ in $[G, U] = K^1(G)$. The module of the primitive elements in $K^1(G)$ is exactly the module generated by all classes $\beta(\rho)$ of this type. If in addition, G is semisimple and simply connected of rank l, there are l basic irreducible representations $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l$, whose maximum weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ form a basis for the character group $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ of the maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ of G and the

classes $\beta(\rho_1), \dots, \beta(\rho_l)$ form a basis for the module of the primitive elements in $K^1(G)$ and $K^*(G) = \Lambda^*(\beta(\rho_1), \dots, \beta(\rho_l))$. In any case, to compute $K_*(\mathcal{C}(G) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z})$, it is sufficient to determine the homotopy classes of $\alpha \circ \rho$ for irreducible representations ρ of G in terms of $\beta(\rho)$'s.

(3) There is a relation between the K-theory of transformation group C^*-algebras of the tori and the topological K-theory of compact nilmanifolds. In fact let $\alpha = (\boldsymbol{t}, \mathsf{A})$ be an affine transformation on $\mathbb{T}^n$ satisfying the conditions (1) through (4) in Remark 6.17. Then it has been shown in [8] that α is conjugate (in the group of affine transformations of $\mathbb{T}^n$) to the transformation $\alpha' = (\boldsymbol{t'}, \mathsf{A}')$, where A' has an upper triangular matrix whose bottom right $k \times k$ corner is the identity matrix I_k and $\boldsymbol{t'} = (0, \dots, 0, t'_1, \dots, t'_k)$. α' is called a *standard form* for α [22]. Assume that α is given in standard form. J. Packer associates an *induced flow* $(\mathbb{R}, N/\Gamma)$ to the flow $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}^n)$ generated by α, where N is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group of dimension $n+1$, Γ is a cocompact subgroup of N, and the action of $\mathbb{R}$ is given by translation on the left by $\exp sX$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, the Lie algebra of N. One of the most important facts is that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(N/\Gamma) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the induced flow is strongly Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ [22, Proposition 3.1]. Consequently, one has

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{C}(N/\Gamma) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{R}) \cong K^{1-i}(N/\Gamma); \;\; i = 0, 1. \tag{14}$$

The second isomorphism here, is the Connes' Thom isomorphism. So the K-theory of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ is converted to the topological K-theory of the compact nilmanifold N/Γ. Following the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [22], one can conclude that for the special case of the Anzai flows, $N = F_{n-1}$ and $\Gamma = \mathfrak{D}_{n-1}$ which were defined in Section 2.
On the other hand, following [27, Theorem 3.6], one has the following isomorphism

$$K_i(C^*(\Gamma)) \cong K^{i+n+1}(N/\Gamma); \;\; i = 0, 1. \tag{15}$$

Combining (14) and (15) one gets

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong K^{i+1}(N/\Gamma) \cong K_{i+n}(C^*(\Gamma)); \;\; i = 0, 1. \tag{16}$$

Using the above isomorphisms, one can relate the algebraic invariants of the involved C^*-algebras and topological information about the corresponding nilmanifold. For example, since N/Γ is a classifying space for Γ, one has the following isomorphisms

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(N/\Gamma) \cong \check{H}^*(N/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(N, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{R}), \tag{17}$$

where $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(N/\Gamma)$ denotes the de Rham cohomology of the manifold N/Γ, $H^*(N/\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the Čech cohomology of N/Γ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$, $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the group cohomology of Γ with coefficients in the trivial Γ-module $\mathbb{R}$, $H^*(N, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the Moore cohomology group of N (as a locally compact group) with coefficients in the trivial Polish N-module $\mathbb{R}$, and $H^*(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ with coefficients in the trivial $\mathfrak{n}$-module $\mathbb{R}$. Now using the Chern isomorphisms $\mathrm{ch}_0 : K^0(N/\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \check{H}^{\mathrm{even}}(N/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{ch}_1 : K^1(N/\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \check{H}^{\mathrm{odd}}(N/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$, one concludes that the even and odd cohomology groups stated in (17) are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k$, where k is the (common) rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ as in Corollary 6.2. As an example, if $N = F_{n-1}$, $\Gamma = \mathfrak{D}_{n-1}$, and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{f}_{n-1}$, then the even and odd cohomology groups stated in (17) are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{a_n}$, where a_n is the rank of the K-groups of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta}$ that was studied in detail in Section 6.

Conversely, one may use the topological tools for N/Γ to get some information about $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ and $C^*(\Gamma)$. For example, we know that N/Γ as a compact nilmanifold can be constructed as a principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle over a lower dimensional compact nilmanifold [6]. Then we can compute the topological K-groups of N/Γ using the six term Gysin exact sequence [13, IV.1.13, p. 187]. As an example, one can see that $F_{n-1}/\mathfrak{D}_{n-1}$ is a principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle over $F_{n-2}/\mathfrak{D}_{n-2}$, and the corresponding Gysin exact sequence is in fact the topological version of the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for the crossed product $\mathcal{A}_{n,\theta} \cong \mathcal{A}_{n-1,\theta} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ as in Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgement. This paper is part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis in Tarbiat Modarres University under the supervision of Professor Ali Reza Medghalchi. The first author would like to thank Professor Medghalchi for his moral support and scientific guidance during his studies. He would also like to thank Graham Denham, George Elliott, Herve Oyono-Oyono, N. C. Phillips, and Tim Steger for helpful discussions.

References

[1] M. Atiyah, *K-theory*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1967.

[2] K. R. Davidson, *C^*-algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996.

[3] E.G. Effros and F. Hahn, *Locally compact transformation groups and C^*-algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 75, Providence, RI (1967).

[4] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.

[5] H. Furstenberg, *Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 573–601.

[6] V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, E. B. Vinberg, *Foundations of Lie theory and Lie transformation groups*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.

[7] M. Goze, Y. Khakimdjanov, *Nilpotent Lie algebras*, Mathematics and its Applications 361, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.

[8] F. J. Hahn, *On affine transformations of compact abelian groups*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 428–446.

[9] F. J. Hahn, W. Parry, *Minimal systems with quasi-discrete spectrum*, J. London, Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 300–323.

[10] L. Hodgkin, *On the K-theory of Lie groups*, Topology, **6** (1967), 1–36.

[11] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[12] R. Ji, *On the crossed product C^*-algebras associated with Furstenberg transformations on tori*, Ph.D. dissertation, State University of New York at Stony Brook, August 1986.

[13] M. Karoubi, *K-theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1978.

[14] H. Lin, classification of simple C^*-algebras of tracial topological rank zero, MSRI preprint, 2000.

[15] Q. Lin, N. C. Phillips, *Direct limit decomposition for C^*-algebras of minimal diffeomorphisms*, to appear in the proceedings of the US-Japan Operator Algebra Conference in Fukuoka, preprint, 2002.

[16] A. Malcev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Izvestia Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. **13** (1949), 9–32; Amer. Math. Soc. Translations **81** (1949).

[17] P. Milnes, S. Walters, *Simple quotients of the group C^*-algebra of a discrete 4-dimensional nilpotent group*, Houston J. Math. **19** (1993), 615–636.

[18] P. Milnes, S. Walters, *Simple infinite dimensional quotients of $C^*(G)$ for discrete 5-dimensional nilpotent groups G*, Illinois J. Math. **41**(**2**) (1997), 315–340.

[19] P. Milnes, *Groups and C^*-algebras from a 4-dimensional Anzai flow*, Math. Nachr. **235** (2002), 129–141.

[20] M. Newman, *Integral matrices*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 45, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.

[21] O. Nielsen, *Unitary representations and coadjoint orbits of low dimensional nilpotent Lie groups*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics 63, Queen's University, 1983.

[22] J. A. Packer, *K-theoretic invariants for C^*-algebras associated to transformations and induced flows*, J. Funct. Anal. **67** (1986), 25–59.

[23] J. A. Packer, *Strong Morita equivalence for Heisenberg C^*-algebras and the positive cones of their K_0-groups*, Canad. J. Math. **40**(**4**) (1988), 833–864.

[24] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext-groups of certain crossed product C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **4** (1980), 93–118.

[25] S. C. Power, *Simplicity of C^*-algebras associated to minimal dynamical systems*, J. London Math. Soc. **18** (1978), 534–538.

[26] M. Rieffel, *C^*-algebras associated with irrational rotations*, Pacific J. Math. **93**(**2**) (1981), 415–429.

[27] J. Rosenberg, *Group C^*-algebras and topological invariants*, in Operator algebras and group representations, vol. I, Pitman, London, 1984, pp. 95–115.

[28] J. L. Taylor, *Banach algebras and topology*, Algebras in analysis, (J. H. Williamson, ed.), Academic Press, London, 1975, pp. 118–186.

[29] J. Tomiyama, *Invitation to C^*-algebras and topological dynamics*, Advanced Series in Dynamical Systems, vol. 3, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.

[30] S. Walters, *K-groups and classification of simple quotients of group C^*-algebras of certain discrete 5-dimensional nilpotent groups*, Pacific J. Math. **202**(**2**) (2002), 491–509.

Department of Mathematics, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, P.O. Box: 14115-111, Iran
E-mail address: `reyhan_k@modares.ac.ir`

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ont. N6A 5B9, Canada
E-mail address: `milnes@uwo.ca`